

Semilinear elliptic equations for the fractional Laplacian with Hardy potential

Mouhamed Moustapha Fall*

Abstract. In this paper we study existence and nonexistence of nonnegative distributional solutions for a class of semilinear fractional elliptic equations involving the Hardy potential.

Key Words: Hardy inequality, critical exponent, nonexistence, distributional solutions, fractional Laplacian.

Introduction

Let B be a ball of \mathbb{R}^N , $N > 2s$, centered at 0. Let $s \in (0, 1)$, $p > 1$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. In this paper, we study existence and nonexistence of nonnegative functions $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1 \cap L_{loc}^p(B)$ satisfying

$$(0.1) \quad (-\Delta)^s u - \gamma|x|^{-2s}u = u^p \quad \text{in } B,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_s^1 = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|}{1 + |x|^{N+2s}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Equality (0.1) is understood in the sense of distributions. The distribution $(-\Delta)^s u$ is defined as

$$\langle (-\Delta)^s u, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(-\Delta)^s \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(B).$$

*Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Institut für Mathematik. Robert-Mayer-Str. 10, D-60054 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. E-mail: fall@math.uni-frankfurt.de, mouhamed.m.fall@gmail.com.

Here the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ is defined via the Fourier transform as

$$(0.2) \quad (-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} \widehat{\varphi}(\zeta) e^{i\zeta \cdot x} d\zeta,$$

where

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\zeta) = \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\zeta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\zeta \cdot x} \varphi(x) dx$$

is the Fourier transform of φ . The nonlocal structure of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ can be seen in its representation in the real space:

$$(0.3) \quad (-\Delta)^s \varphi(x) = C_{s,N} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

for some positive constant $C_{s,N}$. For the equivalence between (0.3) and (0.2), we refer the reader to [33].

Problem (0.1) is related to the relativistic Hardy inequality which were proved by Herbst in [29] (see also [47]):

$$(0.4) \quad \gamma_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-2s} u^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} \widehat{u}^2 d\zeta \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where

$$(0.5) \quad \gamma_0 = 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{N+2s}{4}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{N-2s}{4}\right)}.$$

The constant γ_0 is optimal and converges to the classical Hardy constant $\frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$ when $s \rightarrow 1$. Here Γ is the usual gamma function. We should mention that (0.4) is a particular case of the Stein and Weiss inequality, see [43].

A great deal of work is currently been devoted to the study of the fractional Laplacian as it appears in many fields such as probability theory, physics and mathematical finance. We refer the reader to papers [11], [41], [42], [25], [5] (and the references there in) for a nice expository. A good reference for the potential theory of $(-\Delta)^s$ can be found in the book of Landkof [33]. The operator $(-\Delta)^s - \gamma_0|x|^{-2s}$ appears in the problem of stability of relativistic matter in magnetic fields. One can see [40] where a lower bound and a Gagliardo-Nirenberg-type inequality were proved.

The problem of existence and nonexistence of (0.1), for $s = 1$, was studied by Brezis-Dupaigne-Tesei in [7] where the authors showed that for $\beta \in [0, \frac{N-2}{2}]$, $1 < p < \frac{N+2-2\beta}{N-2-2\beta}$, the problem

$$-\Delta u - \left(\frac{(N-2)^2}{4} - \beta^2 \right) |x|^{-2} = u^p \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B)$$

has a positive solution $u \in L^p(B)$ and does not have any nonnegative and nontrivial supersolution $u \in L^p_{loc}(B \setminus \{0\})$ when $\beta \in [0, \frac{N-2}{2})$ and $p \geq \frac{N+2-2\beta}{N-2-2\beta}$. Some related results and problems are in [6], [7], [16], [18], [19], [24], [45], [22], [23], [21], [8], [9]. Our results in this paper extends the one of Brezis-Dupaigne-Tesei in [7] to the case $s \in (0, 1)$. Before stating them, we fix the following notation: for $\alpha \in [0, \frac{N-2s}{2})$, we put

$$\gamma_\alpha = 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+2s+2\alpha}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{N+2s-2\alpha}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-2s-2\alpha}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{N-2s+2\alpha}{4}\right)}.$$

The mapping $\alpha \mapsto \gamma_\alpha$ is monotone decreasing and $\gamma_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ when $\alpha \rightarrow \frac{N-2s}{2}$. We should mention that this constant appears in the perturbation of the 'ground-state' $|x|^{\frac{2s-N}{2}}$ for the operator $(-\Delta)^s - \gamma_0|x|^{-2s}$. Indeed, letting $\vartheta_\alpha = |x|^{\frac{2s-N}{2}+\alpha}$ we have

$$(-\Delta)^s \vartheta_\alpha - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} \vartheta_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

see Lemma 3.1 in Section 3.

Our existence result is the following

Theorem 0.1 *Let $\alpha \in [0, \frac{N-2s}{2}]$ and $1 < p < \frac{N+2s-2\alpha}{N-2s-2\alpha}$. There exists a function $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1 \cap L^p(B)$ satisfying $u > 0$ in B and*

$$(-\Delta)^s u - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} u = u^p \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B).$$

As what concerns nonexistence, we have obtained:

Theorem 0.2 *Let $\alpha \in [0, \frac{N-2s}{2})$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1 \cap L^p_{loc}(B \setminus \{0\})$ such that $u \geq 0$ and*

$$(-\Delta)^s u - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} u \geq u^p \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B \setminus \{0\}).$$

If $p \geq \frac{N+2s-2\alpha}{N-2s-2\alpha}$, then $u = 0$ in B .

We observe that if $\alpha = 0$ we have $p + 1 = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$: the critical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev exponent and that $\frac{N+2s-2\alpha}{N-2s-2\alpha} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \frac{N-2s}{2}$.

The proof of Theorem 0.2 relies on weak comparison principles recently used by the author in [24]. However substantial difficulties have to be overcome due to the nonlocal structure of the fractional Laplacian. Nonexistence result of nonlinear elliptic problems using comparison principles have been obtained in [1], [2] [39], [36], [32], [37], [31] and the references therein.

For the existence result, in the supercritical case $\frac{N+2s-2\alpha}{N-2s-2\alpha} > p \geq \frac{N+2s}{N-2s}$, we have an explicit solution constructed via ϑ_α . In the subcritical case, $p + 1 < \frac{2N}{N-2s}$, we used standard variational arguments thanks to the following improved fractional Hardy inequality:

Theorem 0.3 *Let $2 > q > \max\left(1, \frac{2}{1+2s}\right)$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in C_c^\infty(B)$,*

$$(0.6) \quad C\|u\|_{W_0^{\tau,q}(B)}^2 \leq \gamma_0 \int_B |x|^{-2s} u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} \hat{u}^2 d\zeta,$$

where $\tau = \frac{1+2s}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$.

This result, which might be of self interest, is proved in Appendix 5.

The proof of all the results presented above are mainly based on a Dirichlet-to Neumann operator \mathcal{B}_s for which $\mathcal{B}_s u = (-\Delta)^s \tilde{u}$ in $\mathcal{D}'(E)$ for any Lipschitz bounded open set E of \mathbb{R}^N and \tilde{u} is the null extension outside E of a function u belonging to some Sobolev space. To be more precise let us first recall the result of Caffarelli and Silvestre. We recall that

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (1 + |\zeta|^s) \hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Put

$$\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} = \{(t, x) : t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N\}.$$

Given $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, minimization procedure yields the existence of a unique function $\mathcal{H}(w) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$ being the harmonic extension of w over the half space \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} :

$$(0.7) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla \mathcal{H}(w)) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, \\ \mathcal{H}(w) = w & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

In [13], Caffarelli and Silvestre proved that $(-\Delta)^s w$ is given by the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(w)}{\partial t}$:

$$-\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(w)}{\partial t} = \kappa_s (-\Delta)^s w \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

for some constant $\kappa_s > 0$. In addition

$$(0.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \mathcal{H}(w)|^2 dx dt = \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} \widehat{w} d\zeta.$$

We want to provide similar arguments in bounded open sets. We define the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm:

$$v \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} |\widehat{v}|^2 d\zeta.$$

Let E be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N with Lipschitz boundary. We introduce the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H}_0^s(E) := \{u \in H^s(E) : \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

where

$$\tilde{u} = \begin{cases} u & \text{in } E \\ 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus E. \end{cases}$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ is endowed with the natural norm

$$(0.9) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} |\widehat{u}|^2 d\zeta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}(\tilde{u})|^2 d\zeta.$$

Note that, since E is bounded, by (0.4) there exists a constant $C(E) > 0$ such that

$$(0.10) \quad C(E) \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} \leq \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E).$$

From this we deduce that

$$(0.11) \quad \mathcal{H}_0^s(E) = \{u \in H^s(E) : \tilde{u} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

Hence, see for instance [[28], Theorem 1.4.2.2], the space $C_c^\infty(E)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$. By (0.11) for any $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$, we can consider its harmonic extension $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{u})$ as in

(0.7). We define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator $\mathcal{B}_s : \mathcal{H}_0^s(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(E)$ given by

$$\mathcal{B}_s u = -\kappa_s^{-1} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\tilde{u})}{\partial t},$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(E)$ is the dual of $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$. This operator turns out to be linear and it is an isometry,

$$\|\mathcal{B}_s u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(E)} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$$

by (0.8). Moreover

$$\mathcal{B}_s u = (-\Delta)^s \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(E) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E).$$

In particular a solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ to the problem

$$\mathcal{B}_s u = f \quad \text{in } E$$

yields a solution $v \in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to the problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s v = f & \text{in } E, \\ v = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus E \end{cases}$$

and conversely. We refer to the next section for more details.

In order to get, say, qualitative informations on the solution to the problem

$$\mathcal{B}_s u = (-\Delta)^s \tilde{u} = f,$$

it is, in general, more convenient to work with the (mixed) problem

$$(0.12) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla \mathcal{H}(\tilde{u})) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, \\ -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\tilde{u})}{\partial t} = \kappa_s f & \text{in } E. \end{cases}$$

New difficulties arise here because of the (possible) degeneracy of the equation (0.12). However the weight t^{1-2s} falls into the Muckenhoupt class of weights thus regularity results, Harnack inequalities are available (see [20]) and this is enough for our purpose in this paper.

An interesting characterization of $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$, see [28], is that $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ is the interpolation space $(H_0^2(E), L^2(E))_{s,2}$:

$$(0.13) \quad \mathcal{H}_0^s(E) = \begin{cases} H^s(E) & s \in (0, 1/2), \\ H_{00}^s(E) & s = 1/2, \\ H_0^s(E) & s \in (1/2, 1), \end{cases}$$

where

$$H_{00}^{\frac{1}{2}}(E) = \left\{ u \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(E) : \int_E \frac{u^2(x)}{d(x)} dx < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the natural norm, with $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial E)$.

Remark 0.4 Let E be a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^N . Recently a pseudo differential operator A_s of order $2s$ was introduced by Cabré and Tan [12] for $s = 1/2$ (see [15] for every $s \neq 1/2$) in the following way: for any $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$

$$A_s u = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^s u_k \varphi_k,$$

where μ_k is the zero Dirichlet eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ with corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions φ_k and $u_k = \int_E u \varphi_k dx$ is the component of u in the $L^2(E)$ basis $\{\varphi_k\}$.

Using (0.13), it was shown in [12] and [15] that

$$(0.14) \quad \mathcal{H}_0^s(E) = \left\{ u \in L^2(E) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^s |u_k|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

The operator A_s corresponds to the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator given by the harmonic extension over the cylinder $E \times (0, \infty)$. Indeed, let $H_{L,s}^1(E \times (0, \infty))$ be the set of measurable functions $w : E \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $w \in H^1(E \times (r_1, r_2))$, $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$ and $w = 0$ on $\partial E \times (0, \infty)$ such that the following norm

$$\|w\|_{H_{L,s}^1(E \times (0, \infty))}^2 = \int_{E \times (0, \infty)} t^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 dx dt < \infty.$$

In [12] and [15], the authors showed that for any $g \in \mathcal{H}^{-s}(E)$ there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ to

$$(0.15) \quad \begin{cases} A_s u = g & \text{in } E, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial E. \end{cases}$$

In addition u is the trace of $w \in H_{L,s}^1(E \times (0, \infty))$ which is the unique solution to

$$(0.16) \quad \begin{cases} \text{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla w) = 0 & \text{in } E \times (0, \infty), \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial E \times (0, \infty), \\ -t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \kappa_{N,s} g & \text{on } E, \end{cases}$$

where $\kappa_{N,s}$ ($\kappa_{N,s} = 1$ for $s = 1/2$) is a constant depending only on N and s . Moreover, it holds that, with the norm in (0.14),

$$(0.17) \quad \|u\|^2 = \kappa_{N,s} \|w\|_{H_{L,s}^1(E \times (0,\infty))}^2.$$

We can compare the operator A_s with the operator \mathcal{B}_s . For simplicity, we consider the case $s = 1/2$. Assume that $g \in C_c^\infty(E)$ is nonnegative and nontrivial and u is a solution to (0.15), which is positive on E . Take w its extension over the cylinder. Consider $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{u})$ which is the harmonic extension of \tilde{u} in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} given by (0.12). Clearly

$$\mathcal{H}(\tilde{u}) \geq \tilde{w} \quad \text{in } \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}}.$$

It follows from Hopf lemma that

$$-\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} > -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\tilde{u})}{\partial t} \quad \text{in } E.$$

Hence

$$A_{1/2}u > \mathcal{B}_{1/2}u \quad \text{in } E.$$

In particular the operator A_s yields (up to a multiplicative constant) subsolution to the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$. This is the reason why the use of \mathcal{B}_s is more convenient in this paper.

We give here the plan of the paper:

- Section 1: Notations and Preliminaries.
- Subsection 1.1: Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.
- Section 2: Comparison and maximum principles.
- Section 3: Nonexistence of positive supersolutions.
- Section 4: Existence of positive solutions.
- Appendix 5, Subsection 5.1: Remainder term for the fractional Hardy inequality.

1 Notations and Preliminaries

Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we will consider its Fourier transform

$$\widehat{u}(\zeta) = \mathcal{F}(u)(\zeta) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\zeta \cdot x} u(x) dx.$$

For $s > 0$, the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : |\zeta|^s \widehat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$$

with norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\widehat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \| |\zeta|^s \widehat{u} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

We also have by Parseval identity

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + C_{s,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy.$$

Let E be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with Lipschitz boundary. For $q > 1$, we introduce the space $W^{s,q}(E)$ defined as the space of measurable functions u such that the following norm is finite

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,q}(E)}^q := \|u\|_{L^q(E)}^q + \int_E \int_E \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+qs}} dx dy.$$

We define $W_0^{s,q}(E)$ to be the closure of $C_c^\infty(E)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{s,q}(E)}$. As a notation convention, we put $H^s(E) = W^{1,2}(E)$ and $H_0^s(E) = W_0^{1,2}(E)$ which are Hilbert spaces.

It is well known that if $u \in H^1(E)$ then \tilde{u} , its null extension outside E , is in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\|u\|_{H^1(E)} = \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. This is not in general true for functions in $H^s(E)$ ($s = 1/2$ for instance). We shall define a space of functions in which we recover this defect by imposing integrability of null extensions.

The Hardy inequality (0.4) suggests the definition of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm:

$$(1.1) \quad v \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} |\widehat{v}|^2 d\zeta.$$

As it will be apparently clear in the remaining of the paper, we introduce the Hilbert space

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_0^s(E) := \{u \in H^s(E) : \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

where we put here (and hereafter)

$$\tilde{u} = \begin{cases} u & \text{in } E \\ 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus E. \end{cases}$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ is endowed with the norm

$$(1.3) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} |\widehat{\tilde{u}}|^2 d\zeta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}(\tilde{u})|^2 d\zeta.$$

Note that, since E is bounded, by (0.4) there exists a constant $C(E) > 0$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad C(E) \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} \leq \|\tilde{u}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E).$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{H}_0^s(E) = \{u \in H^s(E) : \tilde{u} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

See for instance [[28], Theorem 1.4.2.2], the space $C_c^\infty(E)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$.

Notations : For G an open set of \mathbb{R}^N , we use the standard notations for weighted Lebesgue spaces: $L^p(G; a(x)) = \{u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_G u^p a(x) dx < \infty\}$. $B^N(0, r)$ is a ball in \mathbb{R}^N centered at 0 with radius $r > 0$ and $S^{N-1} = \partial B^N(0, 1)$. $\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} = \{(t, x) : t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$. $B_+^{N+1}(0, r) = \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \cap B^{N+1}(0, r)$ and $S_+^N = \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \cap S^N$. If there is no confusion, we will put $B^N = B^N(0, 1)$ and $B_+^{N+1} = B_+^{N+1}(0, 1)$. The space $W_{0,S}^{1,q}(B_+^{N+1}(0, r); a(x)) = \{u \in W^{1,q}(B_+^{N+1}(0, r); a(x)) : u = 0 \text{ on } S_+^N\}$.

1.1 Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator

It is well known that the space of Schwartz functions \mathcal{S} contains $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and that \mathcal{F} is a bijection from \mathcal{S} into itself. In particular $(-\Delta)^s \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In fact we have for any $\varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, see [41],

$$|(-\Delta)^s \varphi(x)| \leq C \frac{\|\varphi\|_{C_c^2}}{1 + |x|^{N+2s}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This motivates the following:

Definition 1.1 Let G be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . Given $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1$, the distribution $(-\Delta)^s u \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$ is defined as

$$\langle (-\Delta)^s u, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(-\Delta)^s \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(G).$$

Some recent results concerning s -superharmonic functions in the sense of distributions as above are in [41].

Consider the Poisson kernel of $\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} := \{(t, x) : t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N\}$

$$(1.5) \quad P(t, x) = p_{N,s} t^{2s} \frac{1}{(|x|^2 + t^2)^{(N+2s)/2}},$$

where $p_{N,s}$ is a normalization constant, see [11] for an explicit value. Let $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1$, we can define

$$\bar{u}(t, x) = P(t, \cdot) * u = p_{N,s} t^{2s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(y)}{(|y-x|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dy \quad \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}.$$

It turns out that

$$\operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{u}) = 0 \quad \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}.$$

Therefore \bar{u} is smooth in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} . Moreover if u is regular in a neighborhood of some point x_0 then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \bar{u}(t, x_0) \rightarrow u(x_0).$$

By an argument of [13], we have that

$$(1.6) \quad -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}(t, x_0) = \kappa_s (-\Delta)^s u(x_0),$$

where the constant κ_s is explicitly computed in [11]:

$$(1.7) \quad k_s = \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2^{2s-1} \Gamma(s)}.$$

For any $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}(w)$ its unique harmonic extension over \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} . Namely (see for instance [13], [11]) $\mathcal{H}(w) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$ and

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla \mathcal{H}(w)) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, \\ \mathcal{H}(w) = w & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(w)}{\partial t} = \kappa_s (-\Delta)^s w & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

In particular if $w \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then $\mathcal{H}(w) = P(t, \cdot) * w$. In addition one can check (see [13]), using integration by parts and the Parseval identity, that

$$(1.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \mathcal{H}(w)|^2 dx dt = \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} \widehat{w} d\zeta = \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{s/2} w|^2 dx.$$

Therefore from the definition of the space $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$, we have

$$(1.10) \quad \kappa_s \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\tilde{v})|^2 dx dt \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E),$$

where as usual \tilde{v} is the null extension of v outside E .

We now introduce a Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator \mathcal{B}_s defined on $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$.

Proposition 1.2 *Let E be a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary. Denote by $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(E)$ the dual of $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$. Then the mapping $\mathcal{B}_s : \mathcal{H}_0^s(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(E)$ given by*

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_s v, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(E), \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} = -\kappa_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\tilde{v})}{\partial t} \tilde{\varphi} dx \quad \forall v, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$$

is a linear isometry. In addition for any $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ we have

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{B}_s v = (-\Delta)^s \tilde{v} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(E).$$

Proof. By definition for any $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$, $\tilde{v} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ thus the operator \mathcal{B}_s is well defined and linear. Consider $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{v})$ which satisfies (1.8). Then integration by parts yields for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \mathcal{H}(\tilde{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{H}(\tilde{\varphi}) dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\tilde{v})}{\partial t} \tilde{\varphi} dx.$$

This, (1.10) and Hölder inequality imply that

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_s v, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(E), \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} \leq \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2$$

while

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_s v, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(E), \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} = \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2.$$

Hence

$$\|\mathcal{B}_s v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(E)} = \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}.$$

On the other hand, for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(E)$, we have by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \mathcal{H}(\tilde{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{H}(\tilde{\varphi}) dx dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\tilde{v})}{\partial t} \varphi dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\varphi)}{\partial t} \tilde{v} dx \\ &= \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{v} (-\Delta)^s \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\mathcal{B}_s v = (-\Delta)^s \tilde{v} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(E).$$

□

We turn to the characterization of the space $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$. As suggested with the fact that $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{v}) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$ for every $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$, we have the converse:

Proposition 1.3 *Let E be a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary. Define*

$$H_{0,T}^1(E; t^{1-2s}) = \left\{ w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s}) : w \Big|_{\mathbb{R}^N} \equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus E \right\}$$

and

$$H_{0,T}^s(E) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus E \right\}.$$

We have the following equalities:

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{H}_0^s(E) = \left\{ u \Big|_E : u \in H_{0,T}^s(E) \right\} = \left\{ w \Big|_E : w \in H_{0,T}^1(E; t^{1-2s}) \right\}.$$

In particular

$$(-\Delta)^s u = \mathcal{B}_s \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(E), \quad \forall u \in H_{0,T}^s(E),$$

where $\tilde{u} = u \Big|_E$.

Proof. The first equality in (1.12) is immediate by definition. The second equality is a consequence of the trace embedding theorem. Indeed, take $w \in H_{0,T}^1(E; t^{1-2s})$. Then the null extension of $w \Big|_E$ outside E is nothing but w which belongs to $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and in addition $\|w\|_{H^s(E)} \leq \|w\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. □

Summarizing, we state the following

Proposition 1.4 *Pick $g \in \mathcal{H}^{-s}(E)$. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ (given by the Lax-Miligram theorem) be the unique solution to*

$$\mathcal{B}_s v = g \quad \text{in } E.$$

Let $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$ solve the mixed problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla w) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus E, \\ -t^{1-2s}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \kappa_s g & \text{on } E. \end{cases}$$

Then $v = w$ in E ; for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{B}_s v, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(E), \mathcal{H}^s(E)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\zeta|^{2s} \mathcal{F}(\tilde{v}) \mathcal{F}(\tilde{\varphi}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^{s/2} \tilde{v} (-\Delta)^{s/2} \tilde{\varphi} dx \\ &= \langle g, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(E), \mathcal{H}^s(E)} \\ &= \kappa_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \mathcal{H}(\tilde{\varphi}) dx dt \\ &= \kappa_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \mathcal{H}(\tilde{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{H}(\tilde{\varphi}) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\kappa_s \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 dx dt.$$

We can extend the above in unbounded domains:

Remark 1.5 *Here we consider E any open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with Lipschitz boundary. Define*

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{H}^s(E) := \{u \in H^s(E) : \tilde{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

where as usual \tilde{u} stands for the null extension of u outside E . We have that $C_c^\infty(E)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^s(E)$, see [28].

By similar arguments, we have that the operator

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_s(v) = -\kappa_s^{-1} t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(\tilde{v})}{\partial t} + v$$

is a linear isometry form $\mathcal{H}^s(E) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}^s(E))'$, where $(\mathcal{H}^s(E))'$ is the dual of $\mathcal{H}^s(E)$.

2 Comparison and maximum principles

Unless otherwise stated, E is a bounded Lipschitz open set of \mathbb{R}^N . We have the following technical result which will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1 *Let E_n be a sequence of Lipschitz open sets such that $E_n \subset\subset E_{n+1}$ and $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = E$. Let $g_n \in L^2(E)$ such that $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^2(E)$. Consider $v_n \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E_n)$ solution to*

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_n = g_n \quad \text{in } E_n.$$

If $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ is the unique solution to

$$\mathcal{B}_s v = g \quad \text{in } E$$

then $\widetilde{v}_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^2(E)$.

Proof. Observe that $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{v}_n) \in H_{0,T}^1(E; t^{1-2s})$ thus by Proposition 1.3 $\widetilde{v}_n \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$. In addition we have by Hardy and Hölder inequality

$$\|\widetilde{v}_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} = \|v_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} \leq C(E) \|g_n\|_{L^2(E)}.$$

Therefore \widetilde{v}_n is bounded. By assumption it converges weakly to v in $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ and strongly in $L^2(E)$ because $C_c^\infty(E)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$. \square

The following maximum principle can be found in [[15] Lemma 2.4] or in [20].

Lemma 2.2 *Let E be a bounded Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^N . Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$, $v \geq 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{B}_s v \geq 0 \quad \text{in } E.$$

If $v \not\equiv 0$ then for any compact set $K \subset E$

$$\text{ess inf}_K v > 0.$$

Lemma 2.3 Let $g \in L^2(E)$, $g \geq 0$ and let $w \in L^1_{loc}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 dx dt < \infty$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \phi dx dt + c \int_E w \phi dx dt \geq \kappa_s \int_E g \phi dx$$

for every nonnegative $\phi \in H^1_{0,T}(E; t^{1-2s})$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Assume that $w \geq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus E$. Then $w \geq 0$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}}$.

Proof. Test (2.1) with $\max(-w, 0) \in H^1_{0,T}(E; t^{1-2s})$. □

Lemma 2.4 Let $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and let $u \in \mathcal{L}^1_s$, $u \geq 0$ and $g \in L^2(E)$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad (-\Delta)^s u + cu \geq g \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(E).$$

Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ solves

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{B}_s v + cv = g \quad \text{in } E.$$

Then

$$u \geq v \quad \text{in } E.$$

Proof. Recall that (2.2) is equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u (-\Delta)^s \varphi dx \geq \int_E g \varphi dx - c \int_E u \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(E), \varphi \geq 0.$$

Denote by ρ_n the standard mollifier (which is symmetric: $\rho_n(-x) = \rho_n(x)$) and put $u_n = \rho_n * u$.

Claim: for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^s u_n \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u (-\Delta)^s (\rho_n * \varphi).$$

It is easy to check using Fubini's theorem and the symmetry of ρ_n that

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^s u_n \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n (-\Delta)^s \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \rho_n * (-\Delta)^s \varphi dx.$$

Now we notice that, in \mathbb{R}^N ,

$$\rho_n * (-\Delta)^s \varphi = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(\rho_n * (-\Delta)^s \varphi)) = \mathcal{F}(|\zeta|^{2s}(\mathcal{F}(\rho_n)\mathcal{F}(\varphi))) = (-\Delta)^s(\rho_n * \varphi).$$

Using this in (2.6), we get (2.5) as claimed.

Let $E_n := \{x \in E : \text{dist}(x, \partial E) > 1/n\}$. We deduce from (2.4) and (2.5) that for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(E_n)$ and $\varphi \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (-\Delta)^s u_n \varphi dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(-\Delta)^s(\rho_n * \varphi) dx \geq \int_E g(\rho_n * \varphi) dx - c \int_E u(\rho_n * \varphi) dx \\ &= \int_E (\rho_n * g) \varphi dx - c \int_E (\rho_n * u) \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$(2.7) \quad (-\Delta)^s u_n(x) + c u_n(x) \geq \rho_n * g(x) =: g_n(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in E_n.$$

We let $w_n(t, x) = P(t, \cdot) * u_n(x)$ be the harmonic extension of u_n via the Poisson kernel so that

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} \text{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla w_n) = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, \\ w_n = u_n & \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

It turns out that

$$(2.9) \quad -t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial t} + c w_n = \kappa_s (-\Delta)^s u_n + c u_n \geq \kappa_s g_n \quad \text{on } E_n$$

and in addition $t^{1-2s} |\nabla w_n|^2 \in L_{loc}^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$. Let $v_n \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E_n)$ be the solution to

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_n + c v_n = g_n \quad \text{in } E_n.$$

We take a large $R > 0$ so that $B^N(0, R)$ contains E and we let $v_{n,R} \in W_{0,S}^{1,2}(B_+^{N+1}(0, R); t^{1-2s})$ be the unique solution (obtained by minimization) to the problem

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} \text{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla v_{n,R}) = 0 & B_+^{N+1}(0, R), \\ -t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial v_{n,R}}{\partial t} + c v_{n,R} = \kappa_s g_n & E_n, \\ v_{n,R} = 0 & B^N(0, R) \setminus E_n. \end{cases}$$

By extending $v_{n,R}$ to be zero outside $\overline{B_+^{N+1}(0, R)}$, it is standard to show that $v_{n,R} \rightarrow v_n$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{1-2s})$. Since $w_n \geq v_{n,R}$ by Lemma 2.3, it follows that, sending $R \rightarrow \infty$, $w_n \geq v_n$ in \mathbb{R}^N . In particular $u_n \geq v_n$ in E_n . By Lemma 2.1, $\widetilde{v}_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^2(E)$ and the proof is complete. \square

We recall the definition of the s -capacity of a compact set $A \subset E$:

$$(2.11) \quad C_s(A) = \inf_{\phi \in C_c^\infty(E)} \{ \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 : \phi \geq 1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } A \}.$$

Note that if $C_s(A) = 0$ then $|A| = 0$ by Poincaré inequality (see (1.4)). We have the following comparison result modulo small sets.

Lemma 2.5 *Let A be a compact subset of E with $C_s(A) = 0$. Let $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1$, $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $g \in L^2(E)$ such that*

$$(-\Delta)^s u + cu \geq g \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(E \setminus A).$$

Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ solve

$$\mathcal{B}_s v + cv = g \quad \text{in } E.$$

Then $u \geq v$ in E .

Proof. Let A_ε be a smooth open ε -neighborhood of A compactly contained in E . Define $D_\varepsilon = \{x \in D : \text{dist}(x, \partial(D \setminus A_\varepsilon)) > \varepsilon\}$. It is clear that

$$(-\Delta)^s u + cu \geq g \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(D_\varepsilon).$$

Consider $v_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(D_\varepsilon)$ solving

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_\varepsilon + cv_\varepsilon = g \quad \text{in } D_\varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 2.4 we have $u \geq v_\varepsilon$ in D_ε . The same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 yields $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ for every $s \in (0, 1)$ and it is bounded. Hence it converges weakly to some function w in $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ and strongly in $L^2(E)$. In particular $u \geq w$. Moreover for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(E \setminus A)$, we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $\text{supp} \varphi$ is contained in D_ε thus taking the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\langle w, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} + c \int_E w \varphi = \int_E g \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(E \setminus A).$$

From this equality, to conclude the proof (that is $v = w$), it suffices to show that $C_c^\infty(E \setminus A)$ is dense in $C_c^\infty(E)$ with the $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ -norm because $w \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$.

Since $C_s(A) = 0$, there exists a sequence $\psi_n \in C_c^\infty(E)$ such that $\psi_n \geq 1$ in a neighborhood of A and in addition

$$(2.12) \quad \|\chi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 \leq \|\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\chi_n = \min(\psi_n, 1)$. Now take any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(E)$ and note that $(1 - \chi_n)\phi \in C_c^\infty(E \setminus A)$ and moreover $(1 - \chi_n)\phi \rightarrow \phi$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ by (2.12). This concludes the proof. \square

We shall define a new space which is more convenient when dealing with the Hardy potential. Namely, we assume that there exists $b \in L_{loc}^1(E)$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.13) \quad \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 - \int_E b(x)\varphi^2 dx \geq C \int_E \varphi^2 dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(E).$$

Definition 2.6 Let $b \in L_{loc}^1(E)$ so that (2.13) holds. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)$ is the completion of $C_c^\infty(E)$ with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle \varphi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} - \int_E b(x)\varphi\phi dx \quad \forall \varphi, \phi \in C_c^\infty(E).$$

Note that the Lax-Milgram theorem implies that for any $f \in L^2(E)$, there exists a unique solution to the problem

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}_s v - b(x)v = f & \text{in } E, \\ v \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E), \end{cases}$$

in the sense that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)$

$$\langle v, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} - \int_E b(x)v\phi dx = \int_E f\phi dx.$$

Remark 2.7 Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Put $d_\varepsilon(x) = b(x)(1 - \varepsilon)$. Then $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E) = \mathcal{H}_{0,d_\varepsilon}^s(E)$ by Proposition 1.3. This holds true because if $v \in \mathcal{H}_{0,d_\varepsilon}^s(E)$ then by (2.13) we have $\tilde{v} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By similar argument $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E) = \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)$ if $b \in L^\infty(E)$.

Lemma 2.8 Let A be a compact subset of E with $C_s(A) = 0$. Let $b \in L_{loc}^1(E)$ such that (2.13) holds. Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1$ with $u, b \geq 0$ and $f \in L^2(E)$, $f \geq 0$ such that

$$(2.15) \quad (-\Delta)^s u - b(x)u \geq f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(E \setminus A).$$

Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)$ be the unique solution to

$$\mathcal{B}_s v - b(x)v = f \quad \text{in } E.$$

Then

$$u \geq v \quad \text{in } E.$$

Proof. Step 1: We first prove the result if $b \in L^\infty(E)$.

We let $v_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ solving

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_0 = f \quad \text{in } E.$$

Then $0 \leq v_0 \leq u$ in E by Lemma 2.5 and because $f \geq 0$. We define inductively the sequence $v_n \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ by

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_1 = b(x)v_0 + f \quad \text{in } E, \quad \mathcal{B}_s v_n = b(x)v_{n-1} + f \quad \text{in } E.$$

Since $b \geq 0$, we have $(-\Delta)^s u \geq b(x)v_0 + f$ in $\mathcal{D}'(E \setminus A)$. Thus using once again Lemma 2.5, we obtain $v_0 \leq v_1 \leq u$ in E . By induction, we have

$$v_0 \leq v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_n \leq u \quad \text{in } E \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $v_{n-1} \leq v_n$ in E , we have

$$\|v_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 - \int_E b(x)|v_n|^2 \leq \int_E f(x)v_n dx.$$

By Hölder inequality and (2.13) (see Remark 2.7) v_n is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$. We conclude that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ which is the unique solution to

$$\mathcal{B}_s v = b(x)v + f \quad \text{in } E.$$

Since $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^2(E)$, we get $v \leq u$ in E .

Step 2: *Conclusion of the proof.*

We put $b_k(x) = \min(b(x), k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider $v^k \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ be the unique solution to

$$(2.16) \quad \langle v^k, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)} - \int_E \min\{b(x), k\} v^k \varphi = \int_E f \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(E).$$

Thanks to **Step 1**, we have $v^k \leq u$ in E .

Next, we check that such a sequence v^k , satisfying (2.16), converges to v in $L^2(E)$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^k\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)}^2 &\leq \|v^k\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 - \int_E \min\{b(x), k\} |v^k|^2 dx \\ &= \int_E f v^k dx \leq C \|v^k\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)} \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder inequality and by (2.13), where the constant C depends on f and E but not on k . Therefore the sequence v^k is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)$. We conclude that there exists $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)$ such that, for a subsequence, $v^k \rightharpoonup \tilde{v}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)$. Now by (2.16), we have

$$\langle v^k, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)} + \int_E (b(x) - \min\{b(x), k\}) v^k \varphi = \int_E f \varphi.$$

Since for every $k \geq 1$ and any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(E)$

$$\left| (b(x) - \min\{b(x), k\}) v^k \varphi \right| \leq (b(x) - \min\{b(x), k\}) u |\varphi| \leq 2b(x)u |\varphi| \in L^1(E),$$

the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$(2.17) \quad \langle \tilde{v}, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)} = \int_E f \varphi \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(E).$$

We therefore have that $\tilde{v} = v$ by uniqueness. By (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - v^k\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)}^2 &= \|v^k\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)}^2 - \langle v, v^k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)} + \langle v, v - v^k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)} \\ &= \|v^k\|_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)}^2 - \int_E f v^k + \langle v, v - v^k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)} \\ &\leq \|v^k\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 - \int_E \min\{b(x), k\} |v^k|^2 dx - \int_E f v^k + \langle v, v - v^k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)} \\ &= \langle v, v - v^k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain

$$C(E) \int_\Omega |v - v^k|^2 dx \leq \langle v, v - v^k \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)} \rightarrow 0$$

by (2.13). Hence $v^k \rightarrow v$ pointwise and thus $v \leq u$ in Ω . \square

Remark 2.9 *The same result as in Lemma 2.8 holds if we assumed the coercivity that there exist constants $C, c > 0$ such that for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(E)$*

$$(2.18) \quad \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 + c \int_E \varphi^2 dx - \int_E b(x) \varphi^2 dx \geq C \int_E \varphi^2 dx.$$

We close this section with the following useful lemma and its immediate consequence. Its counterpart, for $s = 1$, is in [23].

Lemma 2.10 *Let E be a bounded Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^N . Let A be a compact subset of E with $C_s(A) = 0$. Let $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1$, $b \in L_{loc}^1(E)$ and $u, b > 0$. Assume that*

$$(2.19) \quad (-\Delta)^s u \geq b(x)u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(E \setminus A).$$

Then

$$(2.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 dx dt = \kappa_s \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 \geq \kappa_s \int_E b(x) \varphi^2 dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(E).$$

Proof. Put $g_k(x) := \min(b(x)u, k) > 0$ for integers $k \geq 1$. Let $v_k \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(E)$ be the solution to

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_k = g_k \quad \text{in } E.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have $\frac{1}{v_k} \in L_{loc}^\infty(E)$ and by the standard maximum principle $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{v}_k) > 0$. Moreover by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$(2.21) \quad u \geq v_k > 0 \quad \text{in } E.$$

Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(E)$. Put $V_k = \mathcal{H}(\tilde{v}_k)$ and $V_k^\varepsilon = V_k + \varepsilon$, for $\varepsilon > 0$. Set $\psi = \frac{\mathcal{H}(\varphi)}{V_k^\varepsilon}$ so that $V_k^\varepsilon \psi^2 \in H_{0,T}^1(E; t^{1-2s})$. Simple computations show that

$$|\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 = |V_k^\varepsilon \nabla \psi|^2 + \nabla V_k^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla (V_k^\varepsilon \psi^2) = |V_k^\varepsilon \nabla \psi|^2 + \nabla V_k \cdot \nabla (V_k^\varepsilon \psi^2).$$

Thus using integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 dx dt &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \nabla V_k \cdot \nabla (V_k^\varepsilon \psi^2) dx dt \\ &= \int_E g_k \frac{\varphi^2}{(v_k + \varepsilon)^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Take the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 dx dt \geq \kappa_s \int_E \frac{g_k}{v_k} \varphi^2 dx$$

by Fatou's lemma. By (2.21), we infer that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 dx dt \geq \kappa_s \int_E \frac{g_k}{u} \varphi^2 dx.$$

Again by Fatou's lemma, inequality (2.20) follows immediately by taking $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

□

The following result appeared in [3] in the case $s = 1$.

Theorem 2.11 *Let E be a bounded Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^N with $0 \in E$, $N > 2s$. Then there is no nonnegative and nontrivial $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1$ satisfying*

$$(-\Delta)^s u \geq \gamma |x|^{-2s} u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(E \setminus \{0\}),$$

with $\gamma > \gamma_0$.

Proof. Note that $C_s(\{0\}) = 0$ provided $N > 2s$ (see [38, p. 397]). If such u exists then $u > 0$ in E by the maximum principle thus Lemma 2.10 contradicts the sharpness of the Hardy constant γ_0 . \square

3 Nonexistence of positive supersolutions

We start with the following

Lemma 3.1 *For every $\alpha \in (-\frac{N}{2} - s, \frac{N}{2} - s)$, put $\vartheta_\alpha(x) = |x|^{\frac{2s-N}{2} + \alpha}$. Then*

$$(-\Delta)^s \vartheta_\alpha = \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} \vartheta_\alpha \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma_\alpha = 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+2s+2\alpha}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{N+2s-2\alpha}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-2s-2\alpha}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{N-2s+2\alpha}{4}\right)}.$$

For $\alpha \geq 0$, the function $\alpha \mapsto \gamma_\alpha$ is continuous and decreasing.

There exists a positive function $\Upsilon_\alpha \in C^\beta(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \setminus \{0\})$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla \Upsilon_\alpha) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ \Upsilon_\alpha = \vartheta_\alpha & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus \{0\} \\ -t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \Upsilon_\alpha}{\partial t} = \kappa_s (-\Delta)^s \vartheta_\alpha = \kappa_s \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} \vartheta_\alpha & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover if $\alpha > 0$ then $|\nabla \Upsilon_\alpha| \in L^2(B_+^{N+1}(0, R); t^{1-2s})$ for every $R > 0$.

Proof. Note that $\vartheta_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_s^1$. The Fourier transform of radial functions (see [[44] Theorem 4.1]) yields

$$\mathcal{F}(\vartheta_\alpha)(\rho) = \rho^{\frac{1-N}{2}} \int_0^\infty (r\rho)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\frac{N-2}{2}}(r\rho) \vartheta_\alpha r^{\frac{N-1}{2}} dr,$$

where $J_{\frac{N-2}{2}}$ is the Bessel function. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\vartheta_\alpha)(\rho) &= \rho^{\frac{-N}{2}-s-\alpha} \int_0^\infty (r\rho)^{s+\alpha} J_{\frac{N-2}{2}}(r\rho) d(r\rho) \\ &= m_\alpha \rho^{\frac{-N}{2}-s-\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$m_\alpha = 2^{s+\alpha} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+2s+2\alpha}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-2s-2\alpha}{4}\right)}.$$

Now we notice that $(\gamma_\alpha = m_\alpha m_{-\alpha})$

$$(-\Delta)^s \vartheta_\alpha = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}((-\Delta)^s \vartheta_\alpha)) = \mathcal{F}(\rho^{2s} \mathcal{F}(\vartheta_\alpha)(\rho)) = m_\alpha \mathcal{F}(\rho^{\frac{-N}{2}+s-\alpha}) = \gamma_\alpha r^{-2s} \vartheta_\alpha.$$

For the proof of the fact that the map $\alpha \mapsto \gamma_\alpha$ is continuous and decreasing, we refer to [17].

We define

$$\Upsilon_\alpha(t, x) = \begin{cases} P(t, \cdot) * \vartheta_\alpha(t, x) & \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ \vartheta_\alpha(x) & \forall x \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where P is the Poisson kernel defined in Section 1.1. Clearly Υ_α is positive. We have that

$$-t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial \Upsilon_\alpha}{\partial t} = \kappa_s (-\Delta)^s \vartheta_\alpha \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

Hence we get (3.2).

From the regularity theory of [11], we deduce that $\Upsilon_\alpha \in C^\beta(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \setminus \{0\})$ for some $\beta > 0$. In addition $\Upsilon_\alpha \in H^1(\Omega \times (t_1, t_2); t^{1-2s})$ for every $\Omega \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$.

Observe that $\Upsilon_\alpha(\lambda z) = \lambda^{\frac{2s-N}{2}+\alpha} \Upsilon_\alpha(z)$ and thus choosing $\lambda = |z|^{-1}$, we infer that $\Upsilon_\alpha(z) \leq \Upsilon_\alpha(z|z|^{-1})|z|^{\frac{2s-N}{2}+\alpha} \leq C|z|^{\frac{2s-N}{2}+\alpha}$, for every $z = (t, x) \in B_+^{N+1}(0, R)$ and $R > 0$. From this, we deduce that $t^{1-2s}|z|^{-2}\Upsilon_\alpha^2 \in L^1(B_+^{N+1}(0, R))$ for $\alpha > 0$. We also have $|x|^{-2s}\Upsilon_\alpha^2 \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $\alpha > 0$.

We let φ be a cut-off function such that $\varphi = 0$ for $|z| < \varepsilon$, $\varphi = 1$ for $2\varepsilon < |z| < R$, $\varphi = 0$ for $|z| > 2R$ and $|\nabla\varphi| \leq C\varepsilon^{-1}$ for $\varepsilon < |z| < 2\varepsilon$. We use $\varphi^2\Upsilon_\alpha$ as a test function in (3.2) to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \nabla \Upsilon_\alpha \nabla (\varphi^2 \Upsilon_\alpha) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |x|^{-2s} \Upsilon_\alpha^2 \varphi^2.$$

Integrating by parts and using Young's inequality, for some constant $c > 0$, we have

$$c \int_{B_+^{N+1}(0,R) \setminus B_+^{N+1}(0,\varepsilon)} t^{1-2s} \varphi^2 |\nabla \Upsilon_\alpha|^2 \leq \int_{B^N(0,2R)} |x|^{-2s} \Upsilon_\alpha^2 \varphi^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} \Upsilon_\alpha^2 |\nabla \varphi|^2.$$

Therefore

$$c \int_{B_+^{N+1}(0,R) \setminus B_+^{N+1}(0,\varepsilon)} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \Upsilon_\alpha|^2 \leq \int_{B^N(0,2R)} |x|^{-2s} \Upsilon_\alpha^2 + \int_{\varepsilon < |(t,x)| < 2\varepsilon} t^{1-2s} |(t,x)|^{-2} \Upsilon_\alpha^2.$$

Fatou's lemma yields $|\nabla \Upsilon_\alpha| \in L^2(B_+^{N+1}(0,R); t^{1-2s})$ for $\alpha > 0$. \square

The comparison result obtained in Lemma 2.8 allows us to derive the following estimate when the potential $b(x)$ is the Hardy one.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $N > 2s$, $\alpha \in [0, (N - 2s)/2)$ and $p > 1$. Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1 \cap L_{loc}^p(B^N(0,2) \setminus \{0\})$, $u \not\equiv 0$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad (-\Delta)^s u - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} u \geq u^p \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B^N(0,2) \setminus \{0\}).$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N(0,2))}^2 - \gamma_\alpha \int_{B^N(0,2)} |x|^{-2s} \varphi^2 dx \geq C \int_{B^N(0,2)} \varphi^2 dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(B^N(0,2)).$$

Moreover there exists a constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad u \geq v_\alpha \geq C' |x|^{\frac{2s-N}{2} + \alpha} \quad \text{in } B^N(0,1),$$

where $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(B^N(0,2))$ (with $b(x) = \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s}$) is the solution to

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_\alpha - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} v_\alpha = \min(u^p, 1) \quad \text{in } B^N(0,2).$$

Proof. Inequality (3.4) is trivial for $\alpha > 0$ so we consider only the case $\alpha = 0$. Since $C_s(\{0\}) = 0$ provided $N > 2s$, by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.2, we have $u > 0$ in $B^N(0,2)$ and

$$M = \text{ess inf}_{B^N(0,1)} u > 0.$$

Hence $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1$ satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s u - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} u \geq M^{p-1} u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B^N(0,1) \setminus \{0\}).$$

By Lemma 2.10 we obtain (3.4) thanks to the scale invariance of the integrals on the left hand side.

We put $b(x) = \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s}$. By (3.4), for $\alpha \geq 0$, we can let $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(B^N(0,2))$ be the solution to

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_\alpha - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} v_\alpha = \min(u^p, 1) \quad \text{in } B^N(0,2).$$

Then by Lemma 2.8, we have $u \geq v_\alpha$ in $B^N(0,2)$. We first consider the case $\alpha > 0$. Then $\gamma_\alpha < \gamma_0$ and thus $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N(0,2))$. By the regularity result of [11], we get that $V_\alpha = \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{v}_\alpha)$ is continuous in $B_+^{N+1}(0,1) \setminus \{0\}$ and $V_\alpha \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.3. Consider ϑ_α and its harmonic extension Υ_α given by Lemma 3.1. The maximum principal (see Lemma 2.2) implies that we can set

$$(3.6) \quad C' = \frac{\min_{\overline{S_+^N}} v_\alpha}{\max_{\overline{S_+^N}} \Upsilon_\alpha} > 0.$$

Put $w = C' \Upsilon_\alpha - V_\alpha$. We have weakly

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s} \nabla w) = 0 & \text{in } B_+^{N+1}(0,1), \\ w \leq 0 & \text{on } \overline{S_+^N}, \\ -t^{1-2s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - \kappa_s \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} w \leq 0 & \text{on } B^N(0,1). \end{cases}$$

Then $w^+ := \max(w, 0) \in H_{0,S}^1(B_+^{N+1}(0,1); t^{1-2s})$ and therefore by integration by parts

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla w^+|^2 dx dt - \kappa_s \gamma_\alpha \int_{B^N(0,1)} |x|^{-2s} (w^+)^2 dx \leq 0.$$

In particular

$$\|w^+\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N(0,1))}^2 - \gamma_\alpha \int_{B^N(0,1)} |x|^{-2s} (w^+)^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Hence $w^+ \equiv 0$ by Hardy's inequality. Hence $v_\alpha \geq C' \vartheta_\alpha$ in $B^N(0,1)$ that is (3.5) for $\alpha > 0$.

For the case $\alpha = 0$, we put $\alpha_n = 1/n$ and we notice that the sequence $v_{\alpha_n} \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N(0,2))$ solution to the problem

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_{\alpha_n} - \gamma_{\alpha_n} |x|^{-2s} v_{\alpha_n} = f \quad \text{in } B^N(0,2)$$

is monotone increasing to v_0 because the mapping $\alpha \mapsto \gamma_\alpha$ is decreasing. Therefore, taking into account (3.6), we readily get (3.5). \square

Proof of Theorem 0.2

Lemma 3.3 *Let E be a bounded Lipschitz domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $N > 2s$. Suppose that $0 \in E$ and $\alpha \in [0, (N - 2s)/2)$. Let $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^1 \cap L_{loc}^p(E \setminus \{0\})$ such that*

$$(3.8) \quad (-\Delta)^s u - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} u \geq u^p \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(E \setminus \{0\}),$$

with

$$\gamma_\alpha = 2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N+2s+2\alpha}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{N+2s-2\alpha}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-2s-2\alpha}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{N-2s+2\alpha}{4}\right)}.$$

If $p \geq \frac{N+2s-2\alpha}{N-2s-2\alpha}$, then $u = 0$ in E .

Proof. Assume that $u \neq 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that there exist $r, C_r > 0$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad u(x) \geq v_\alpha(x) \geq C_r |x|^{\frac{2s-N}{2} + \alpha} \quad \forall x \in B^N(0, r) \subset E,$$

where $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)$ (with $b(x) = \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s}$) is the solution to

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_\alpha - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} v_\alpha = \min(u^p, 1) \quad \text{in } E.$$

On the other hand Lemma 2.10 yields, for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(E)$,

$$(3.10) \quad \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 - \gamma_\alpha \int_E |x|^{-2s} \varphi^2 dx \geq \int_E u^{p-1} \varphi^2 dx.$$

We first consider the case $\alpha > 0$. If r is small, by (3.9) we have, for $0 < \alpha' < \alpha$,

$$(-\Delta)^s u - \gamma_{\alpha'} |x|^{-2s} u \geq (-\gamma_{\alpha'} + \gamma_\alpha + C_r^{p-1}) |x|^{-2s} u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B^N(0, r) \setminus \{0\}).$$

By Lemma 2.8 and using the same arguments as in Lemma 3.2 we get, provided $\alpha' \nearrow \alpha$,

$$(3.11) \quad u(x) \geq C'_r |x|^{\frac{2s-N}{2} + \alpha'} \quad \forall x \in B^N(0, r/2),$$

for some constant $C'_r > 0$. Using the estimate (3.11) in (3.10) we get

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N(0, r/2))}^2 - \gamma_\alpha \int_{B^N(0, r/2)} |x|^{-2s} \varphi^2 dx \geq (C'_r)^{p-1} \int_{B^N(0, r/2)} |x|^{\left(\frac{2s-N}{2} + \alpha'\right)(p-1)} \varphi^2 dx,$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B^N(0, r/2))$. Since $p > \frac{N+2s-2\alpha'}{N-2s-2\alpha'}$, we have

$$-\delta := \left(\frac{2s-N}{2} + \alpha' \right) (p-1) + 2s < 0.$$

Hence for every $\rho \in (0, r/2)$

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N(0,\rho))}^2 \geq (\gamma_\alpha + (C'_r)^{p-1} \rho^{-\delta}) \int_{B^N(0,\rho)} |x|^{-2s} \varphi^2 dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(B^N(0, \rho)).$$

This contradicts the sharpness of the Hardy constant thanks to the scale invariance of the inequality.

Finally, for the case $\alpha = 0$ we note that (3.10) implies, by density, that

$$\|v_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 - \gamma_\alpha \int_E |x|^{-2s} v_\alpha^2 dx \geq \int_E v_\alpha^{p+1} dx.$$

This also leads to a contradiction because $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(E)$ while by (3.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E v_\alpha^{p+1} dx &\geq C' \int_{B^N(0,r)} |x|^{\left(\frac{2s-N}{2}\right)(p+1)} dx \\ &\geq C' \int_{S^{N-1}} \int_0^r t^{-1} dt d\sigma = +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C' > 0$. □

4 Existence of positive solutions

The proof will be separated into several cases. We put

$$E_\alpha(u) := \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B)}^2 - \gamma_\alpha \int_B |x|^{-2s} u^2 dx \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(B),$$

where B is a ball in \mathbb{R}^N centered at 0 with $N > 2s$.

Case 1: $\alpha \in (0, (N-2s)/2]$ **and** $1 < p < (N+s)/(N-2s)$.

Thanks to the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and Hardy's inequality we have

$$(4.1) \quad E_\alpha(u) \geq \left(\int_B u^{p+1} \right)^{2/(p+1)} \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(B).$$

Thanks to the compact embedding of $\mathcal{H}_0^s(B)$ into $L^{p+1}(B)$, we can minimize E_α over the set

$$(4.2) \quad \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(B) : \int_B (u^+)^{p+1} = 1 \right\}.$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(B)$ be the minimizer. Put $u^\pm = \max(\pm u, 0)$. By Proposition 1.3, u^\pm belongs to $\mathcal{H}_0^s(B)$. We check rapidly that $E_\alpha(u^+) \leq E_\alpha(u)$. Observe that

$$\kappa_s \|u^\pm\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \mathcal{H}(u^\pm)|^2 dx dt \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla(\mathcal{H}(u)^\pm)|^2 dx dt$$

because $\mathcal{H}(u^\pm)$ and $\mathcal{H}(u)^\pm$ have the same trace on \mathbb{R}^N while $\mathcal{H}(u^\pm)$ has minimal Dirichlet energy. Now using this and Hardy's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_s E_\alpha(u^+) &= \kappa_s \|u^+\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B)}^2 - \kappa_s \gamma_\alpha \int_B |x|^{-2s} (u^+)^2 dx \\ &= \kappa_s \|u^+\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B)}^2 - \kappa_s \gamma_\alpha \int_B |x|^{-2s} u^2 dx + \kappa_s \gamma_\alpha \int_B |x|^{-2s} (u^-)^2 dx \\ &\leq \kappa_s \|u^+\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B)}^2 - \kappa_s \gamma_\alpha \int_B |x|^{-2s} u^2 dx + \kappa_s \|u^-\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B)}^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla(\mathcal{H}(u)^+)|^2 dx dt - \kappa_s \gamma_\alpha \int_B |x|^{-2s} u^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla(\mathcal{H}(u)^-)|^2 dx dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \mathcal{H}(u)|^2 dx dt - \kappa_s \gamma_\alpha \int_B |x|^{-2s} u^2 dx \\ &= \kappa_s E_\alpha(u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may assume that $u = u^+$ is a nonnegative and nontrivial minimizer therefore there exists a Lagrange multiplier $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_s u - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} u = \lambda u^p \quad \text{in } B.$$

Hence $\lambda^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \tilde{u}$ is a solution of problem (0.1).

Case 2: $\alpha = 0$ and $1 < p < (N + 2s)/(N - 2s)$.

Lemma 5.4 yields for every $q \in \left(2, \max\left(1, \frac{2}{1+2s}\right)\right)$

$$E_0(u) \geq \|u\|_{W_0^{\tau,q}(B)}^2 \quad u \in C_c^\infty(B),$$

with $\tau = \frac{1+2s}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(B)$ is compactly embedded into $L^{p+1}(B)$, with $b = \gamma_0|x|^{-2s}$. Hence we can minimize E_0 over the set

$$(4.3) \quad \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(B) : \int_B (u^+)^{p+1} = 1 \right\}.$$

We have to check again that $E_0(u^+) \leq E_0(u)$. But this can be done by density and using similar arguments as above. We skip the details. We get a positive minimizer $u = u^+$ of E_0 in the set (4.3). We conclude that $\lambda^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\tilde{u}$ is a solution to (0.1) for some Lagrange multiplier $\lambda > 0$.

Case 3: $\alpha \in (0, (N-2s)/2)$ and $(N+2s)/(N-2s) \leq p < (N+2s-2\alpha)/(N-2s-2\alpha)$. Consider $\vartheta_\beta = r^{\frac{2s-N}{2}+\beta}$ given by Lemma 3.1 which satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s \vartheta_\beta = \gamma_\beta |x|^{-2s} \vartheta_\beta \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

We look for a solution of the form $w = \mu r^{\frac{-2s}{p-1}}$ with a constant $\mu > 0$ to be determined in a minute. Assume that we can take $\beta \geq 0$ such that $r^{\frac{2s-N}{2}+\beta} = r^{\frac{-2s}{p-1}}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^s w &= \gamma_\beta |x|^{-2s} w + w^p - w^{p-1} w \\ &= \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} w + w^p + (\gamma_\beta - \gamma_\alpha - \mu^{p-1}) |x|^{-2s} w. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta \mapsto \gamma_\beta$ is decreasing, we can choose $\mu^{p-1} = \gamma_\beta - \gamma_\alpha > 0$ provided $\alpha > \beta$. But note that $\alpha > \beta$ as soon as $p < (N+2s-2\alpha)/(N-2s-2\alpha)$ and $p \geq (N+2s)/(N-2s)$ implies $\beta \geq 0$. In conclusion we have, in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(-\Delta)^s w - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{-2s} w = w^p$$

and $w \in \mathcal{L}_s^1 \cap L^p(B)$ is a solution to (0.1). □

5 Appendix

5.1 Remainder term for the fractional Hardy inequality

Let E be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^N , $N > 2s$, with $0 \in E$. The following (local) Hardy inequality is a consequence of (0.4)

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma_0 \int_E u^2 |x|^{-2s} dx \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(E)}^2 \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(E).$$

In addition the constant γ_0 is optimal. Our objective, in this section, is to improve inequality (0.4) in bounded domains of \mathbb{R}^N .

Many deal of work has been done in improving the classical Hardy inequality starting from the work of Brezis-Vázquez [10]. We also quote [4], [46], [27] for related improvements.

We shall prove a Vázquez-Zuazua-type (see [46]) improvement for the fractional Hardy inequality (0.4). That is for $2 > q > \max\left(1, \frac{2}{2-a}\right)$, there exists a constant $C(E) > 0$ such that for all $u \in C_c^\infty(E)$,

$$C(E)\|u\|_{W_0^{\tau,q}(E)}^2 \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(E)}^2 - \gamma_0 \int_E |x|^{a-1} u^2 dx,$$

where $a = 1 - 2s$ and $\tau = \frac{2-a}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$. The proof requires several preliminary lemmata.

Consider the function Υ_0 defined in Lemma 3.1 satisfying

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s}\Upsilon_0) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, \\ \Upsilon_0 = |x|^{\frac{2s-N}{2}} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \\ -t^{1-2s}\frac{\partial\Upsilon_0}{\partial t} = \kappa_s\gamma_0|x|^{-2s}\Upsilon_0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

We have seen in the proof of Lemma 3.1 that

$$(5.3) \quad |\Upsilon_0(z)| \leq C|z|^{(2s-N)/2} \quad \forall z = (x, t) \in B_+^{N+1}.$$

By scale invariance, we have that

$$(5.4) \quad \Upsilon_0(z) = R^{(N-2s)/2}\Upsilon_0(Rz) \quad \forall R > 0.$$

This implies the estimate

$$(5.5) \quad |\Upsilon_0(z)| \geq C|z|^{(2s-N)/2} \quad \forall z \in B_+^{N+1}$$

and also

$$(5.6) \quad |\nabla\Upsilon_0(z)| \leq C|z|^{(2s-N)/2-1} \quad \forall z \in B_+^{N+1}.$$

We now prove the following result which were proved in [17] when $s = 1/2$.

Lemma 5.1 For every $q \in (1, 2)$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B^{N+1})$

$$(5.7) \quad C \left(\int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{\frac{qa}{2}} |\nabla \varphi|^q dz \right)^{2/q} \leq \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^a |\nabla \varphi|^2 dz - \kappa_s \gamma_0 \int_{B^N} |x|^{a-1} \varphi^2 dx,$$

where $a = 1 - 2s$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B^{N+1} \setminus \{0\})$ and put $\psi = \frac{\varphi}{\Upsilon_0}$. Simple computations yield

$$|\nabla \varphi|^2 = |\Upsilon_0 \nabla \psi|^2 + \nabla \Upsilon_0 \cdot \nabla (\Upsilon_0 \psi^2).$$

Integration by parts and using (5.2) leads to

$$\int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^a |\nabla \varphi|^2 dz - \kappa_s \gamma_0 \int_{B^N} |x|^{a-1} \varphi^2 dx \geq \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^a \Upsilon_0^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 dz.$$

By (5.5) and using polar coordinates $z = r\sigma = |z| \frac{z}{|z|}$, we get

$$(5.8) \quad \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^a \Upsilon_0^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 dz \geq C \int_0^1 \int_{S_+^N} (\sigma_1)^a r |\nabla \psi|^2 d\sigma dr,$$

where σ_1 is the component of σ in the t direction. We wish to show that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(5.9) \quad I := \left(\int_0^1 \int_{S_+^N} (\sigma_1)^a r |\nabla \psi|^2 d\sigma dr \right)^{q/2} \geq C \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{\frac{qa}{2}} |\nabla \varphi|^q dz \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(B^{N+1}).$$

We have

$$\int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{\frac{qa}{2}} |\nabla \varphi|^q dz = \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{\frac{qa}{2}} |\nabla \psi \Upsilon_0 + \psi \nabla \Upsilon_0|^q dz \leq C \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{\frac{qa}{2}} (|\nabla \psi \Upsilon_0|^q + |\psi \nabla \Upsilon_0|^q) dz.$$

Put

$$I_1 = \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{\frac{qa}{2}} |\nabla \psi \Upsilon_0|^q dz,$$

$$I_2 = \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{\frac{qa}{2}} |\psi \nabla \Upsilon_0|^q dz.$$

Using (5.3) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.10) \quad I_1 &\leq C \int_{S_+^N} (\sigma_1)^{qa/2} \int_0^1 r^{N+q(1-N)/2} |\nabla \psi|^q dr d\sigma \\
&= C \int_0^1 r^{N+q(1-N)/2-q/2} \int_{S_+^N} (\sigma_1)^{qa/2} r^{q/2} |\nabla \psi|^q d\sigma dr \\
&\leq C \int_0^1 r^{N(2-q)/2} \left(\int_{S_+^N} (\sigma_1)^a r |\nabla \psi|^2 d\sigma \right)^{q/2} dr \\
&\leq C \int_0^1 \left(\int_{S_+^N} (\sigma_1)^a r |\nabla \psi|^2 d\sigma \right)^{q/2} dr \\
&\leq C \left(\int_0^1 \int_{S_+^N} (\sigma_1)^a r |\nabla \psi|^2 d\sigma dr \right)^{q/2} \\
&\leq CI.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have using (5.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.11) \quad I_2 &\leq C \int_{S_+^N} (\sigma_1)^{qa/2} \int_0^1 r^{N(2-q)/2-q/2} |\psi|^q dr d\sigma \\
&\leq C \int_{S_+^N} (\sigma_1)^{qa/2} \int_0^1 r^{N(2-q)/2-q/2+q} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right|^q dr d\sigma \\
&\leq C \int_0^1 r^{N+q(1-N)/2-q/2} \int_{S_+^N} (\sigma_1)^{qa/2} r^{q/2} |\nabla \psi|^q d\sigma dr \\
&\leq CI,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we have used the one dimensional Hardy inequality $\int_0^1 f^q dr \leq c \int_0^1 r^{-q} |f'|^q dr$ and observing that (5.10) is just (5.11). The lemma follows because $C_c^\infty(B^{N+1} \setminus \{0\})$ is dense in $C_c^\infty(B^{N+1})$ with respect to the $H^1(B^{N+1}; t^a)$ -norm when $N \geq 3$, see [30]. \square

The Lion's interpolation inequality, [[34] Paragraph 5], shows that for $a \neq 0$ and $-\frac{1}{q} < \frac{a}{2} < \frac{1}{q}$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(5.12) \quad C \|v\|_{W^{\tau,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{\frac{aq}{2}} |\nabla v|^q dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{\frac{aq}{2}} |v|^q dx dt, \quad \forall v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}),$$

where $\tau = \frac{2-a}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$.

We first prove a generalized weighted Poincaré trace inequality. The proof is standard. We recall that the space $W_{0,S}^{1,q}(B_+^{N+1}; t^{qa/2})$ was defined in Section 1.

Lemma 5.2 *Suppose that $q > 1$ and $\tau := \frac{2-a}{2} - \frac{1}{q} > 0$. Then the following inequality holds*

$$(5.13) \quad \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{qa/2} |u|^q dz \leq C \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{qa/2} |\nabla u|^q dz + C \int_{B^N} |u|^q dz \quad \forall u \in W_{0,S}^{1,q}(B_+^{N+1}; t^{qa/2}).$$

Proof. Inequality (5.13) is well known for $a = 0$. So we restrict ourself to the case $a \neq 0$.

Assume by contradiction that (5.13) does not hold. Then there exists a sequence $u_n \in W_{0,S}^{1,q}(B_+^{N+1}; t^{qa/2})$ such that

$$(5.14) \quad \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{qa/2} |\nabla u_n|^q dz + \int_{B^N} |u_n|^q dz = o(1)$$

and

$$\int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{qa/2} |u_n|^q dz > 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Up to normalization, we may assume that $\int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{qa/2} |u_n|^q dz = 1$. But then (5.14) implies that u_n is bounded in $W^{1,q}(B_+^{N+1}; t^{qa/2})$ thus $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,q}(B_+^{N+1}; t^{qa/2})$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(B_+^{N+1}; t^{qa/2})$ (see [26]) so that

$$(5.15) \quad \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^{qa/2} |u|^q dz = 1.$$

It follows from (5.12) and the compact embedding of $W_0^{\tau,q}(B^N)$ into $L^q(B^N)$, that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q(B^N)$. From (5.14) we get $u|_{\mathbb{R}^N} = 0$ and also $\nabla u = 0$. It turns out that $u = 0$ in B_+^{N+1} , a contradiction with (5.15). \square

By an argument of partition of unity, we have the following

Lemma 5.3 *Let $2 > q > \max\left(1, \frac{2}{2-a}\right)$. There exist some constants $C, c > 0$ such that for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$*

$$(5.16) \quad C \|\varphi\|_{W^{\tau,q}(B^N)}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}} t^a |\nabla \varphi|^2 dz - \kappa_s \gamma_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-1} \varphi^2 dx + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 dx,$$

where $a = 1 - 2s$ and $\tau = \frac{2-a}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$.

Proof. We put

$$J(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^a |\nabla v|^2 dz - \kappa_s \gamma_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-1} v^2 dx.$$

Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(B^{N+1})$, $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ in B^{N+1} and such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $B^{N+1}(0, 1/2)$. Let $\eta \in H^1(B_+^{N+1}; t^a)$ be the minimum of the problem

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{B_+^{N+1}} t^a |\nabla u|^2 dz : u - \chi \in H_0^1(B_+^{N+1}; t^a) \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^a \nabla \eta) = 0 & \text{in } B_+^{N+1} \\ \eta = \chi & \text{on } B^N \\ \eta = 0 & \text{on } \overline{S_+^N}. \end{cases}$$

It turns out that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ in B_+^{N+1} . In addition, thanks to [14], $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^a \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} \in L_{loc}^\infty(B^N)$. Given $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, simple computations based on integration by parts lead to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^a |\nabla(\varphi \eta)|^2 dz \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^a |\nabla \varphi|^2 dz + c \int_{B^N} \varphi^2 dx,$$

where $c > 0$ depends only on η . On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B^N} |x|^{a-1} (\eta \varphi)^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-1} \varphi^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - \eta^2) |x|^{a-1} \varphi^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-1} \varphi^2 dx + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$J(\varphi \eta) \leq J(\varphi) + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 dx.$$

Applying Lemma 5.1, we infer that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{\frac{qa}{2}} |\nabla(\eta \varphi)|^q dz \right)^{2/q} \leq J(\varphi) + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 dx.$$

By Lemma 5.2 and Hölder inequality ($1 < q < 2$)

$$C \|\eta \varphi\|_{W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^{qa/2})}^2 \leq J(\varphi) + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 dx.$$

Using Lions' interpolation inequality (5.12) with $a \neq 0$, we obtain

$$C\|\eta\varphi\|_{W^{\tau,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq J(\varphi) + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2 dx.$$

If $a = 0$, it is well known that $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$ embeds continuously into $W^{1-1/q,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Recalling that $\eta = \chi \equiv 1$ on $B^N(0, 1/2)$, the lemma follows by scaling. \square

Taking advantages to the singular nature of the Hardy potential and the scale invariance, we prove the main result in this section:

Lemma 5.4 *Let $2 > q > \max\left(1, \frac{2}{2-a}\right)$. Then there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $u \in C_c^\infty(B^N)$,*

$$(5.17) \quad C_0\|u\|_{W_0^{\tau,q}(B^N)}^2 \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N)}^2 - \gamma_0 \int_{B^N} |x|^{a-1} u^2 dx,$$

where $a = 1 - 2s$ and $\tau = \frac{2-a}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$.

Proof. Let $u \in C_c^\infty(B^N)$ and we define $U = \mathcal{H}(\tilde{u}) = \mathcal{H}(u)$. Then $U \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; t^a)$ thus by Lemma 5.3 and a density argument we get

$$C\|U\|_{W^{\tau,q}(B^N)}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^a |\nabla U|^2 dz - \kappa_s \gamma_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{a-1} U^2 dx + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^2 dx.$$

Since $u = U$ on B^N , it follows that

$$C\|u\|_{W^{\tau,q}(B^N)}^2 \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N)}^2 - \gamma_0 \int_{B^N} |x|^{a-1} u^2 dx + c \int_{B^N} u^2 dx.$$

Let $r \in (0, 1)$ we derive from the above that for every $u \in C_c^\infty(B^N(0, r))$

$$(5.18) \quad C\|u\|_{W^{\tau,q}(B^N(0,r))}^2 \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N(0,r))}^2 - \gamma_0 \int_{B^N(0,r)} |x|^{a-1} u^2 dx + c \int_{B^N(0,r)} u^2 dx,$$

with $c, C > 0$ independent on r . This holds because $\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N(0,r))} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N(0,1))}$ as long as $u \in C_c^\infty(B^N(0, r))$ and $r < 1$.

It is clear from (5.18) that we need only to show that there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that for every $u \in C_c^\infty(B^N)$

$$(5.19) \quad C_2 \int_{B^N} u^2 dx \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N)}^2 - \gamma_0 \int_{B^N} |x|^{a-1} u^2 dx.$$

Before proceeding, we recall that the mapping $\alpha \mapsto \gamma_\alpha$ (defined in Lemma 3.1) is decreasing. We will use this fact and the estimates in Lemma 3.2 to conclude the proof. Pick

$$\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{N-2s}{2}\right)$$

and let $r > 0$ be so small that

$$(5.20) \quad (\gamma_0 - \gamma_\alpha)r^{a-1} - c > 0.$$

As we did in Section 4, by (5.18), we can define the space $\mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(B^N(0,r))$ with $b(x) = \gamma_0|x|^{a-1} - c$. Letting $2 < p+1 < \frac{2N}{N-2s}$, we can choose q (close to 2) so that $W_0^{\tau,q}(B^N(0,r))$ is compactly embedded into $L^{p+1}(B^N(0,r))$. Then minimization procedure implies that there exists a nonnegative and nontrivial $u_r \in \mathcal{H}_{0,b}^s(B^N(0,r))$ solution to

$$\mathcal{B}_s u_r + c u_r - \gamma_0 |x|^{a-1} u_r = C u_r^p \quad \text{in } B^N(0,r).$$

By density

$$(5.21) \quad (-\Delta)^s \tilde{u}_r + c \tilde{u}_r - \gamma_0 |x|^{a-1} \tilde{u}_r = C \tilde{u}_r^p \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B^N(0,r)).$$

We have, by (5.20),

$$(-\Delta)^s \tilde{u}_r - \gamma_\alpha |x|^{a-1} \tilde{u}_r \geq ((\gamma_0 - \gamma_\alpha)r^{a-1} - c) \tilde{u}_r + C \tilde{u}_r^p \geq C \tilde{u}_r^p \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B^N(0,r)).$$

Therefore by Lemma 3.2, there exists a constant $C_r > 0$ such that

$$(5.22) \quad u_r \geq C_r |x|^{-\frac{N-2s}{2} + \alpha} \quad \text{in } B^N(0, r/2).$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, take $v^k \in \mathcal{H}_0^s(B^N(0,r))$ as the solution to

$$\mathcal{B}_s v_k + c v_k - (\gamma_0 - 1/k) |x|^{a-1} v_k = C \min(u_r^p, k) \quad \text{in } B^N(0,r).$$

Since \tilde{u}_r satisfies (5.21), it follows (see Remark 2.9) that

$$(5.23) \quad u_r \geq v_k > 0 \quad \text{in } B^N(0,r)$$

by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.2.

Put $V_k = \mathcal{H}(\tilde{v}_k)$ we have that for any $\Psi \in H_{0,T}^1(B^N(0,r); t^a)$

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^a \nabla V_k \cdot \nabla \Psi dz &= (\gamma_0 - 1/k) \int_{B^N(0,r)} |x|^{a-1} v_k \Psi dx - c \int_{B^N(0,r)} v_k \Psi dx \\ &\quad + C \int_{B^N(0,r)} \min(u_r^p, k) \Psi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (5.22), we can choose $r' \in (0, r/2)$ (small) such that

$$(5.25) \quad -c + Cu_r^{p-1} \geq 1 \quad \text{in } B^N(0, r').$$

For such a fixed r' , take $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B^N(0, r'))$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, set $V_k^\varepsilon = V_k + \varepsilon$ and put $\psi := \frac{\mathcal{H}(\varphi)}{V_k^\varepsilon}$. We have

$$|\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 = |V_k^\varepsilon \nabla \psi|^2 + \nabla V_k \cdot \nabla (V_k^\varepsilon \psi^2)$$

and also $V_k^\varepsilon \psi^2 \in H_{0,T}^1(B^N(0, r); t^\alpha)$. This together with (5.24) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^\alpha |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 dx dt &\geq (\gamma_0 - 1/k) \int_{B^N(0, r')} |x|^{a-1} \frac{v_k}{v_k + \varepsilon} \varphi^2 dx \\ &\quad - c \int_{B^N(0, r')} \frac{v_k}{v_k + \varepsilon} \varphi^2 dx \\ &\quad + C \int_{B^N(0, r')} \frac{\min(u_r^p, k)}{v_k + \varepsilon} \varphi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^\alpha |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 dx dt &\geq (\gamma_0 - 1/k) \int_{B^N(0, r')} |x|^{a-1} \frac{v_k}{v_k + \varepsilon} \varphi^2 dx - c \int_{B^N(0, r')} \varphi^2 dx \\ &\quad + C \int_{B^N(0, r')} \frac{\min(u_r^p, k)}{v_k + \varepsilon} \varphi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Fatou's lemma, when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^\alpha |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 dx dt &\geq (\gamma_0 - 1/k) \int_{B^N(0, r')} |x|^{a-1} \varphi^2 dx - c \int_{B^N(0, r')} \varphi^2 dx \\ &\quad + C \int_{B^N(0, r')} \frac{\min(u_r^p, k)}{v_k} \varphi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.23) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^\alpha |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 dx dt &\geq (\gamma_0 - 1/k) \int_{B^N(0, r')} |x|^{a-1} \varphi^2 dx - c \int_{B^N(0, r')} \varphi^2 dx \\ &\quad + C \int_{B^N(0, r')} \frac{\min(u_r^p, k)}{u_r} \varphi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows again from Fatou's lemma that

$$\kappa_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^a |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 dx dt \geq \gamma_0 \int_{B^N(0,r')} |x|^{a-1} \varphi^2 dx + \int_{B^N(0,r')} (-c + Cu_r^{p-1}) \varphi^2 dx.$$

From the choice of r' in (5.25), we get immediately, for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B^N(0, r'))$,

$$\kappa_s^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} t^a |\nabla \mathcal{H}(\varphi)|^2 dx dt - \gamma_0 \int_{B^N(0,r')} |x|^{a-1} \varphi^2 dx \geq \int_{B^N(0,r')} \varphi^2 dx.$$

By scaling we have (5.19) which was our objective. □

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation. The author would like to thank Professor Tobias Weth for fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] S. Armstrong, B. Sirakov, Nonexistence of positive supersolutions of elliptic equations via the maximum principle. *Comm. PDE*, to appear.
- [2] S. Armstrong, B. Sirakov, A new approach to Liouville theorems for elliptic inequalities. *RIMS proceeding*, to appear.
- [3] P. Baras and J. A. Goldstein, The heat equation with a singular potential, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 284 (1984), no. 1, 121-139.
- [4] G. Barbatis, S. Filippas, A. Tertikas, A unified approach to improved L^p Hardy inequalities with best constants . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356, (2004), 2169-2196.
- [5] K. Bogdan; K. Burdzy; Z-Q. Chen, Censored stable processes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 127 (2003), no. 1, 89-152.
- [6] H. Brezis and X. Cabré. Some simple nonlinear PDEs without solutions. *Bull. UMI* 1 (1998), 223-262.
- [7] H. Brezis, L. Dupaigne, A. Tesei, On a semilinear elliptic equation with inverse-square potential. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 11 (2005), no. 1, 1-7.

- [8] H. Brezis and M. Marcus, Hardy's inequalities revisited. Dedicated to Ennio De Giorgi. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* 25 (1997), no. 1-2, 217-237.
- [9] H. Brezis, M. Marcus and I. Shafrir, External functions for Hardy's inequality with weight, *J. Funct. Anal.* 171 (2000), 177-191.
- [10] H. Brezis and J. L. Vázquez, Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems, *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* 10 (1997), no. 2, 443-469.
- [11] X. Cabré and Y. Sire, Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates (2010).
- [12] X. Cabré and J. Tan, Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian. *Adv. Math.* 224 (2010), no. 5, 2052-2093.
- [13] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 32 (2007), no. 7-9, 1245-1260.
- [14] L. A. Caffarelli, S. Salsa, and L. Silvestre, Regularity estimates for the solution and the free boundary of the obstacle problem for the fractional Laplacian, *Invent. Math.* 171 (2008), no. 2, 425-461.
- [15] A. Capella, J. Dávila, L. Dupaigne, Y. Sire. Regularity of radial extremal solutions for some non local semilinear equations. Preprint 2010. <http://www.capde.cl/publication/>.
- [16] J. Dávila; L. Dupaigne, Hardy-type inequalities. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 6 (2004), no. 3, 335-365.
- [17]] J. Dávila, L. Dupaigne, and M. Montenegro, The extremal solution of a boundary reaction problem, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 7 (2008), no. 4, 795-817.
- [18] L. Dupaigne, Semilinear elliptic PDEs with a singular potential, *J. Anal. Math.*, 86 (2002), 359-398.
- [19] L. Dupaigne and G. Nedev. Semilinear elliptic PDEs with a singular potential. *Adv. Differential Equations* 7 (2002), 973-1002.

- [20] E. B. Fabes, C. E. Kenig, and R. P. Serapioni, The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 7 (1982), no. 1, 77-116.
- [21] M. M. Fall, On the Hardy Poincaré inequality with boundary singularities. *Commun. Contemp. Math*, to appear.
- [22] M. M. Fall, R. Musina, Hardy-Poincaré inequality with boundary singularities. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, to appear.
- [23] M. M. Fall, R. Musina, Sharp nonexistence results for a linear elliptic inequality involving Hardy and Leray potentials. *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2011 (2011). doi:10.1155/2011/917201.
- [24] M. M. Fall, Nonexistence of distributional supersolutions of a semilinear elliptic equation with Hardy potential (preprint 2011).
- [25] P. Felmer, A. Quaas, J. Tan, Positive solutions of Nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian. Preprint 2010.
- [26] A. Fröhlich, The Helmholtz decomposition of weighted L^q -spaces for Muckenhoupt weights. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. V.* 46, 1 (2000), 11-19.
- [27] F. Gazzola, H.-C. Grunau, E. Mitidieri, Hardy inequalities with optimal constants and remainder terms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356 (2004), 2149-2168.
- [28] P. Grisvard, Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains. *Monographs and Studies in Mathematics*, 24. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1985.
- [29] I. W. Herbst, Spectral theory of the operator $(p^2 + m^2)^{1/2} - Ze^2/r$. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 53 (1977), no. 3, 285-294.
- [30] T. Kilpeläinen, Weighted Sobolev spaces and capacity. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 19 (1994), no. 1, 95-113.
- [31] V. Kondratieva, V. Liskevich Z. Sobolb, Positive supersolutions to semi-linear second-order non-divergence type elliptic equations in exterior domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* Volume 361 (2009), 697-713.

- [32] V. Kondratieva, V. Liskevich Z. Sobolb, Positive solutions to semi-linear and quasi-linear second-order elliptic equations on unbounded domains. Handbook of Differential Equation. Stationary Partial Differential Equations, volume 6 (Edited by M. Chipot) 2008 Elsevier, pp.177-268.
- [33] N. S. Landkof. Foundations of modern potential theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Translated from the Russian by A. P. Doohovskoy, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 180.
- [34] J. L. Lions, Théorèmes de trace et d'interpolation. I. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 13 1959 389-403.
- [35] V. Liskevich, S. Lyakhova and V. Moroz, Positive solutions to singular semilinear elliptic equations with critical potential on cone-like domains, Adv. Differential Equations 11 (2006), pp. 361-398.
- [36] V. Liskevich, S. Lyakhova and V. Moroz, Positive solutions to semilinear elliptic equations with critical lower order terms. EQUADIFF 2003, 549-554, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2005.
- [37] V. Kondratieva, V. Liskevich Z. Sobolb, Second-order semilinear elliptic inequalities in exterior domains. J. of Differential Equations, vol. 187 (2003), 429-455.
- [38] V.G. Maz'ja, Sobolev Spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [39] S. I. Pohozaev and A. Tesei, Nonexistence of local solutions to semilinear partial differential inequalities. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 21 (2004), pp. 487-502.
- [40] R. L. Frank, A Simple Proof of Hardy-Lieb-Thirring Inequalities. Commun. Math. Phys. 290, 789-800 (2009).
- [41] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 60(1):67-112, 2007.
- [42] Y. Sire, E. Valdinoci, Fractional Laplacian phase transitions and boundary reactions: a geometric inequality and a symmetry result, Journal of Functional Analysis, 256, 6 (2009), 1842-1864.

- [43] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Fractional integrals on n -dimensional Euclidean space. *J. Math. Mech.* 7 1958 503-514.
- [44] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 32. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
- [45] S. Terracini, On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with a singular coefficient and critical exponent. *Adv. Differential Equations* 1 (1996), no. 2, 241-264.
- [46] J. L. Vázquez, E. Zuazua, The Hardy inequality and the asymptotic behavior of the heat equation with an inverse-square potential, *J. Funct. Anal.* 173 (2000).
- [47] D. Yafaev, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Rellich inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* 168 (1999), no. 1, 121-144.