# A note on Hardy's inequalities with boundary singularities

Mouhamed Moustapha Fall \*

**Abstract.** Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \ge 1$ . In this paper we study the Hardy-Poincaré inequalities with weight function singular at the boundary of  $\Omega$ . In particular we give sufficient conditions so that the best constant is achieved.

Key Words: Hardy inequality, extremals, p-Laplacian.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 1$ , with  $0 \in \partial \Omega$  and p > 1 a real number. In this note, we are interested in finding minima to the following quotient

(1.1) 
$$\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \, dx}$$

in terms of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Omega$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , we have the  $\Omega$ -Hardy constant

(1.2) 
$$\mu_{0,p}(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \, dx}$$

<sup>\*</sup>Université Catholique de Louvain-La-Neuve, département de mathématique. Chemin du Cyclotron 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgique. E-mail: *mouhamed.fall@uclouvain.be*, *mouhamed.m.fall@gmail.com*.

which is the best constant in the Hardy inequality for maps supported by  $\Omega$ . The existence of extremals for  $\mu_{\lambda,2}(\Omega)$  was studied in [10] while for  $\mu_{0,2}(\Omega)$ , one can see for instance [6], [5], [21] and [19] for  $\mu_{0,N}(\Omega)$ .

Given a unit vector  $\nu$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we consider the half-space  $H := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x \cdot \nu \ge 0\}$ . For N = 1, the following Hardy inequality is well known

(1.3) 
$$\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p \int_0^\infty t^{-p} |u|^p dt \le \int_0^\infty |u'|^p dt \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(0,\infty)$$

Moreover  $\mu_{0,p}(H) = \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p$  is the *H*-Hardy constant and it is not achieved, see [15] for historical comments also.

For  $N \ge 2$ , it was recently proved by Nazarov [20] that the *H*-Hardy constant is not achieved and

(1.4) 
$$\mu_{0,p}(H) := \inf_{V \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{S}_+^{N-1})} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{N-1}} \left( \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^2 |V|^2 + |\nabla_{\sigma} V|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d\sigma}{\int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{N-1}} |V|^p d\sigma},$$

where  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}_+$  is an (N-1)-dimensional hemisphere. Notice that this problem always has a minimizer by the compact embedding  $L^p(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}_+) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p}_0(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}_+)$ . The quantity  $\mu_{0,p}(H)$  is explicitly known only in some special cases. Indeed,  $\mu_{0,2}(H) = \frac{N^2}{4}$ while for p = N then  $\mu_{N,N}(H)$  is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the operator  $-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{N-2}\nabla u)$  in  $W^{1,N}_0(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}_+)$  with the standard metric.

Problem (1.1) carries some similarities with the questions studied by Brezis and Marcus in [2], where the weight is the inverse-square of the distance from the boundary of  $\Omega$  and p = 2. We also deal with this problem in the present paper for all p > 1in Appendix A. We generalize here the existence result obtained by R.Musina and the author in [10] for any p > 1 and  $N \ge 1$ .

**Theorem 1.1** Let p > 1 and  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 1$ , with  $0 \in \partial \Omega$ . There exits  $\lambda^*(p, \Omega) \in [-\infty, +\infty)$  such that

(1.5) 
$$\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) < \mu_{0,p}(H), \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda^*(p,\Omega).$$

The infinimum in (1.1) is attained for any  $\lambda > \lambda^*(p, \Omega)$ .

The existence of  $\lambda^*(p, \Omega)$  comes from the fact that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) = \mu_{0,p}(H)$$

see Lemma 2.2. Now observe that the mapping  $\lambda \mapsto \mu_{\lambda,p}$  is non-increasing. Moreover, for bounded domains  $\Omega$ , letting  $\lambda_1$  be the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the *p*-Laplace operator  $-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , it is plain that  $\mu_{\lambda_1,p}(\Omega) = 0$ . Then we define

$$\lambda^*(p,\Omega) := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) < \mu_{0,p}(H)\}$$

so that  $\mu_{\lambda,p} < \mu_{0,p}(H)$  for all  $\lambda > \lambda^*(p,\Omega)$ . In particular  $\lambda^*(p,\Omega) \leq \lambda_1$ . On the other hand there are various bounded smooth domains  $\Omega$  with  $0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $\lambda^*(p,\Omega) \in [-\infty,0)$ , see Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.6. Furthermore if N = 1 then  $\mu_{0,p}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) = \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p = \mu_{0,p}(H)$  thus  $\lambda^*(p,\Omega) \geq 0$ .

It is obvious that if  $\Omega$  is contained in a half-ball centered at the origin then  $\mu_{0,p}(\Omega) = \mu_{0,p}(H)$  thus  $\lambda^*(p,\Omega) \ge 0$  and in addition

$$\lambda^*(p,\Omega) = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - \mu_{0,p}(H) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx}.$$

We have obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.2** If  $\Omega$  is contained in a half-ball centered at the origin then there exists a constant c(N, p) > 0 such that

(1.6) 
$$\lambda^*(p,\Omega) \ge \frac{c(N,p)}{diam(\Omega)^p}.$$

The constant c(N, p) appearing in (1.6) has the property that c(N, 2) is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in the unit disc of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . This type of estimates was first proved by Brezis-Vàzquez in [3] when  $p = 2, N \ge 2$  and later on, extended to the case  $1 by Gazzola-Grunau-Mitidieri in [13] when dealing with <math>\mu_{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) := \left|\frac{N-p}{p}\right|^p$ . More precisely they proved the existence of a positive constant C(N,p)such that for any open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , there holds (1.7)

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - \mu_{0,p} \left( \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \right) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \ge C(N,p) \left( \frac{\omega_N}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{p}{N}} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where  $|\Omega|$  is the measure of  $\Omega$  and  $\omega_N$  the measure of the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The constant C(N, p) was explicitly given and C(N, 2) = c(N, 2) as was obtained in [3]. The main ingredients to prove (1.7) is the Schwarz symmetrization and a "dimension reduction" via the transformation  $x \mapsto \frac{u}{\omega}$ , where  $\omega(x) = |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}}$  satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla \omega|^{p-2} \nabla \omega) + \mu_{0,p} \left( \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \right) |x|^{-p} \omega^{p-1} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

For p = 2, the lower bound in (1.6) was obtained in [10] by a similar transformation and using the Poincaré inequality on  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}_+$ . However, in view of (1.4), such argument do not apply here when  $p \neq 2$  and  $p \neq N$ . By analogy, to reduce the dimension, we will consider the mapping  $x \mapsto \frac{u}{v}$ , where  $v(x) := |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} V\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)$  is a weak solution to the equation

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v) + \mu_{0,p}(H) |x|^{-p} |v|^{p-2} v = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(H)$$

whenever V is a minimizer of (1.4). Then exploiting the strict convexity of the mapping  $a \mapsto |a|^p$ , estimate (1.6), for  $p \ge 2$ , follows immediately while the case  $p \in (1,2)$  carries further difficulties as it can be seen in Section 2.2.

The argument to prove the attainability of  $\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega)$  is taken from de Valeriola-Willem [7]. It allows to show that, up to a subsequence, the gradient of the Palais-Smale sequences converges point-wise almost every where. Therefore an application of the Brezis-Lieb lemma with some simples arguments yields the existence of extremals.

### 2 Hardy inequality with one point singularity

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a proper cone in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$  and put  $\Sigma := \mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . It was shown in [20] that the  $\mathcal{C}$ -Hardy constant is not achieved and it is given by

(2.1) 
$$\mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}) = \inf_{V \in W_0^{1,p}(\Sigma)} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} \left( \left( \frac{N-p}{p} \right)^2 |V|^2 + |\nabla_{\sigma} V|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d\sigma}{\int_{\Sigma} |V|^p d\sigma}.$$

Letting  $V \in W_0^{1,p}(\Sigma)$  be the positive minimizer to this quotient then the function

(2.2) 
$$v(x) := |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} V\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)$$

satisfies

(2.3) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla h = \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}) \int_{\mathcal{C}} |x|^{-p} v^{p-1} h \quad \forall h \in C_c^1(\mathcal{C}).$$

Notice that  $\mu_{0,2}(\mathcal{C}) = \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2 + \lambda_1(\Sigma)$ , where  $\lambda_1(\Sigma)$  is the first Dirichlet eigenfunction of the Laplace operator on  $\Sigma$  endowed with the standard metric on  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . This was obtained in [21], [19] and [10].

#### 2.1 Existence

In this Section we show that the condition  $\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) < \mu_{0,p}(H)$  is sufficient to guaranty the existence of a minimizer for  $\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega)$ .

We emphasize that throughout this section,  $\Omega$  can to be taken to be an open set satisfying the uniform sphere condition at  $0 \in \partial \Omega$ . Namely there are balls  $B_+ \subset \Omega$ and  $B_- \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$  such that  $\partial B_+ \cap \partial B_- = \{0\}$ . This holds if  $\partial \Omega$  is of class  $C^2$  at 0, see [[16] 14.6 Appendix]. We start with the following approximate local Hardy inequality.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 1$ , with  $0 \in \partial \Omega$  and let p > 1. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exits  $r_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

(2.4) 
$$\mu_{0,p}(\Omega \cap B_{r_{\varepsilon}}(0)) \ge \mu_{0,p}(H) - \varepsilon,$$

where  $B_r(0)$  is a ball of radius r centered at 0.

**Proof.** If N = 1 then (2.4) is an immediate consequence of (1.3). From now on we can assume that  $N \ge 2$ . We denote by  $N_{\partial\Omega}$  the unit normal vector-field on  $\partial\Omega$ . Up to a rotation, we can assume that  $N_{\partial\Omega}(0) = E_N$ , so that the tangent plane of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0 coincides with  $\mathbb{R}^{N-1} = \text{span}\{E_1, \ldots, E_{N-1}\}$ . Denote by  $B_r^+ = \{y \in B_r(0) : y^N > 0\}$ . For r > 0 small, we introduce the following system of coordinates centered at 0 (see [9]) via the mapping  $F : B_r^+ \to \Omega$  given by

$$F(y) = \operatorname{Exp}_{0}(\tilde{y}) + y^{N} N_{\partial \Omega} \left( \operatorname{Exp}_{0}(\tilde{y}) \right),$$

where  $\tilde{y} = (y^1, \ldots, y^{N-1})$  and  $\tilde{y} \mapsto \operatorname{Exp}_0(\tilde{y}) \in \partial\Omega$  is the exponential mapping of  $\partial\Omega$ endowed with the metric induced by  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . This coordinates induces a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ given by  $g_{ij}(y) = \langle \partial_i F(y), \partial_j F(y) \rangle$  for  $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$ . Let  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(F(B_r^+))$  and put v(y) = u(F(y)) then (2.5)

$$\int_{F(B_r^+)}^{(2.5)} |\nabla u|^p \, dx = \int_{B_r^+} |\nabla v|_g^p \sqrt{|g|} \, dy, \quad \int_{F(B_r^+)} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \, dx = \int_{B_r^+} |F(y)|^{-p} |v|^p \sqrt{|g|} \, dy,$$

with |g| stands for the determinant of the g while  $|\nabla v|_g^p = g(\nabla v, \nabla v)^{\frac{p}{2}}$ . Since  $|F(y)| = |y| + O(|y|^2)$  and  $g_{ij}(y) = \delta_{ij} + O(|y|)$ , we infer that

$$\frac{\int_{B_r^+} |\nabla v|_g^p \sqrt{|g|} \, dy}{\int_{B_r^+} |F(y)|^{-p} |v|^p \sqrt{|g|} \, dy} \ge (1 - Cr) \frac{\int_{B_r^+} |\nabla v|^p \, dy}{\int_{B_r^+} |y|^{-p} |v|^p \, dy}$$

for some constant C > 0 depending only on  $\Omega$  and p. Furthermore since  $\mu_{0,p}(B_r^+) \ge \mu_{0,p}(H)$ , using (2.5) we conclude that

$$\mu_{0,p}(F(B_r^+)) \ge (1 - Cr)\mu_{0,p}(H).$$

We are in position to prove (1.5) in the following

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 1$ , with  $0 \in \partial \Omega$  and let p > 1. Then there exists  $\lambda^*(p, \Omega) \in [-\infty, +\infty)$  such that

$$\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) < \mu_{0,p}(H) \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda^*(p,\Omega).$$

**Proof.** We first show that

(2.6) 
$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) = \mu_{0,p}(H).$$

**Step 1**: We claim that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) \ge \mu_{0,p}(H)$ . For r > 0 small, we let  $\psi \in C^{\infty}(B_r(0))$  with  $0 \le \psi \le 1$ ,  $\psi \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{r}{2}}(0)$  and  $\psi \equiv 1$  in  $B_{\frac{r}{4}}(0)$ . For a fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, there holds

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p &= \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |\psi u + (1-\psi)u|^p \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |\psi u|^p + c(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} (1-\psi)^p |u|^p \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |\psi u|^p + c(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p. \end{split}$$

Now by (2.4)

$$(\mu_{0,p}(H) - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |\psi u|^{p} \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\psi u)|^{p}$$

and hence

(2.7) 
$$(\mu_{0,p}(H) - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \le (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\psi u)|^p + c(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p.$$

Since  $|\nabla(\psi u)|^p \leq (\psi |\nabla u| + |u| |\nabla \psi|)^p$  we deduce that

$$|\nabla(\psi u)|^p \le (1+\varepsilon)\psi^p |\nabla u|^p + c|u|^p |\nabla \psi|^p \le (1+\varepsilon)|\nabla u|^p + c|u|^p.$$

Using (2.7), we conclude that

(2.8) 
$$(\mu_{0,p}(H) - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \le (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + c(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p.$$

This implies that  $\mu_{0,p}(H) \leq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega)$  and the claim follows. **Step 2**: We claim that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) \leq \mu_{0,p}(H)$ .

Denote by  $\nu$  the unit interior normal of  $\partial\Omega$ . For  $\delta \geq 0$  we consider the cone

$$\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid x \cdot \nu > \delta |x| \right\}$$

and put  $\Sigma_{\delta} = \mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+} \cap \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . For every  $\eta > 0$ , let  $V \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\Sigma_{0})$  such that

$$\frac{\int_{\Sigma_0} \left( \left(\frac{N-p}{p}\right)^2 |V|^2 + |\nabla_{\sigma} V|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d\sigma}{\int_{\Sigma_0} |V|^p d\sigma} \le \mu_{0,p}(H) + \eta.$$

On the other hand, there exists  $\delta > 0$  small such that  $supp V \subset \Sigma_{\delta}$ . From this we conclude that

(2.9) 
$$\mu_{0,p}(H) \le \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}_+^{\delta}) \le \mu_{0,p}(H) + \eta.$$

Since  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth at 0, for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $r_{\delta} > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+} \cap B_{r}(0) \subset \Omega$ for all  $r \in (0, r_{\delta})$ . Clearly by scale invariance,  $\mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+} \cap B_{r}(0)) = \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+})$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we let  $\phi \in W_{0}^{1,p}(\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+} \cap B_{r}(0))$  such that

$$\frac{\int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}\cap B_{r}(0)} |\nabla\phi|^{p} dx}{\int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}\cap B_{r}(0)} |x|^{-p} |\phi|^{p} dx} \leq \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}) + \varepsilon.$$

From this we deduce that

$$\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) \leq \frac{\int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}\cap B_{r}(0)} |\nabla\phi|^{p} dx - \lambda \int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}\cap B_{r}(0)} |\phi|^{p} dx}{\int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}\cap B_{r}(0)} |x|^{-p} |\phi|^{p} dx}$$
$$\leq \mu_{0}(\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}) + \varepsilon + |\lambda| \frac{\int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}\cap B_{r}(0)} |\phi|^{p} dx}{\int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}\cap B_{r}(0)} |x|^{-p} |\phi|^{p} dx}.$$

Since  $\int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}\cap B_{r}(0)} |x|^{-p} |\phi|^{p} dx \ge r^{-p} \int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}\cap B_{r}(0)} |\phi|^{p} dx$ , we get  $\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) \le \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{+}) + \varepsilon + r^{p} |\lambda|.$ 

The claim follows immediately by (2.9). Therefore (2.6) is proved. Finally as the map  $\lambda \mapsto \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega)$  is non increasing while  $\mu_{\lambda_1,p}(\Omega) = 0 < \mu_{0,p}(H)$ , we can set

$$\lambda^*(p,\Omega) := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) < \mu_{0,p}(H)\}$$

so that  $\lambda^*(p,\Omega) < \mu_{0,p}(H)$  for any  $\lambda > \lambda^*(p,\Omega)$ .

Remark 2.3 Observe that the proof of Lemma 2.2 highlights that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \mu_{0,p}(\Omega \cap B_r(0)) = \mu_{0,p}(H) = \lim_{\lambda \to -\infty} \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega).$$

#### Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $\lambda > \lambda^*(p,\Omega)$  so that  $\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) < \mu_{0,p}(H)$ . We define the mappings F, G:

 $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^p$$

and

$$G(u) = \int_{\Omega} |x|^p |u|^p.$$

By Ekeland variational principal, there is a minimizing sequence  $u_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ normalized so that

$$G(u_n) = 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and with the properties that

$$F(u_n) \to \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega),$$

(2.10) 
$$J(u_n) = F'(u_n) - \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega)G'(u_n) \to 0 \text{ in } (W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))'.$$

Up to a subsequence, we can assume that there exists  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

(2.11) 
$$\nabla u_n \rightharpoonup \nabla u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega),$$

 $u_n \to u$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $u_n \to u$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Moreover by (2.8), we may assume that  $|x|^{-1}u_n \rightharpoonup |x|^{-1}u$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . We set  $\theta_n = u_n - u$  and

$$T(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \le 1\\ \frac{s}{|s|} & \text{if } |s| > 1. \end{cases}$$

It follows that for every  $r\geq 1$ 

(2.12) 
$$\int_{\Omega} |T(\theta_n)|^r \to 0.$$

Moreover notice that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) \cdot \nabla T(\theta_n) = \langle J(u_n), T(\theta_n) \rangle + \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|_n^{p-2} u_n T(\theta_n) + \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|_n^{p-2} u_n T(\theta_n) - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla T(\theta_n).$$

Therefore by (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) we infer that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) \cdot \nabla T(\theta_n) \to 0.$$

Consequently by [7]-Theorem 1.1,

(2.13) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_n|^p \right) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p.$$

By Brezis-Lieb Lemma [4]

(2.14) 
$$1 - \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |\theta_n|^p = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  small. By (2.8) and Rellich, there exists  $\lambda_{\varepsilon}$  such that

$$(\mu_{0,p}(H) - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |\theta_n|^p \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_n|^p - \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\theta_n|^p = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_n|^p + o(1).$$

Using this together with (2.13) and (2.14) we get

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_n|^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p + o(1) \\ &\leq F(u_n) - (\mu_{0,p}(H) - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |\theta_n|^p + o(1) \\ &\leq \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) - (\mu_{0,p}(H) - \varepsilon) \left(1 - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p\right) + o(1) \\ &\leq \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) - \mu_{0,p}(H) + \varepsilon + (\mu_{0,p}(H) - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p + o(1). \end{split}$$

Send  $n \to \infty$  and then  $\varepsilon \to 0$  to get

$$(\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) - \mu_{0,p}(H)) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \le \mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) - \mu_{0,p}(H)$$

Hence  $\int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \ge 1$  because  $\mu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) - \mu_{0,p}(H) < 0$  and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

As a consequence of the existence theorem, we have

**Corollary 2.4** Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , with  $0 \in \partial \Omega$ . Then

$$\mu_{0,p}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}\right) = \left|\frac{N-p}{p}\right|^p < \mu_{0,p}(\Omega) \le \mu_{0,p}(H).$$

**Proof.** By (2.6)  $0 < \mu_{0,p}(\Omega) \leq \mu_{0,p}(H)$ . If the strict inequality holds, then there exists a positive minimizer  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for  $\mu_{0,p}(\Omega)$  by Theorem 1.1. But then  $\mu_{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) < \mu_{0,p}(\Omega)$ , because otherwise a null extension of u outside  $\Omega$  would achieve the Hardy constant in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$  which is not possible.

As mentioned earlier, we shall show that there are smooth bounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\lambda^*(p,\Omega) \in [-\infty,0)$ . These domains might be taken to be convex or even flat at 0. For that we let  $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and  $\delta, r, R > 0$ . We consider the sector

(2.15) 
$$\mathcal{C}_{r,R}^{\delta} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x \cdot \nu > -\delta |x| \ , r < |x| < R \right\}.$$

**Proposition 2.5** Let  $N \ge 2$  and p > 1. Then for all  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , there exist r, R > 0 such that if a domain  $\Omega$  contains  $\mathcal{C}_{r,R}^{\delta}$  then  $\mu_{0,p}(\Omega) < \mu_{0,p}(H)$ .

**Proof.** Consider the cone

$$\mathcal{C}^{\delta} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x \cdot \nu > -\delta |x| \right\}$$

Notice that by Harnack inequality  $\mu(\mathcal{C}^{\delta}) < \mu(\mathcal{C}^{\delta'})$  for any  $0 \leq \delta' < \delta < 1$ . Thus for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , we can find  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}^{\delta})$  such that

$$\frac{\int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}} |\nabla u|^p}{\int_{\mathcal{C}^{\delta}} |x|^{-p} |u|^p} < \mu_{0,p}(H)$$

Hence we choose r, R > 0 so that  $supp \ u \subset \mathcal{C}_{r,R}^{\delta}$ .

By Corollary 2.4, starting from exterior domains, one can also build various example of (possibly annular) domains for which  $\lambda^*(p,\Omega) < 0$ . The following argument is taken in [Ghoussoub-Kang [14] Proposition 2.4]. If  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 2$ , is a smooth exterior domain (the complement of a smooth bounded domain) with  $0 \in \partial U$  then by scale invariance  $\mu_{0,p}(U) = \mu_{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ . We let  $B_r(0)$  a ball of radius r centered at the 0 and define  $\Omega_r := B_r(0) \cap U$  then clearly the map  $r \mapsto \mu(\Omega_r)$  is decreasing with

(2.16) 
$$\mu_{0,p}\left(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}\right) = \inf_{r>0} \mu_{0,p}(\Omega_r) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{0,p}(H) = \sup_{r>0} \mu_{0,p}(\Omega_r).$$

We have the following result for which the proof is similar to the one given in [14] by Corollary 2.4 and Harnack inequality.

**Proposition 2.6** There exists  $r_0 > 0$  such that the mapping  $r \mapsto \mu_{0,p}(\Omega_r)$  is leftcontinuous and strictly decreasing on  $(r_0, +\infty)$ . In particular

$$\mu_{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) < \mu_{0,p}(\Omega_r) < \mu_{0,p}(H), \quad \forall r \in (r_0, +\infty).$$

#### 2.2Remainder term

We know that for domains  $\Omega$  contained in a half-ball  $\lambda^*(p,\Omega) \geq 0$ . Our aim in this section is to obtain positive lower bound for  $\lambda^*(p,\Omega)$  by providing a remainder term for Hard's inequality in these domains. In [13], Gazzola-Grunau-Mitidieri proved the following improved Hardy inequality for 1 :

(2.17) 
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - \mu_{0,p} \left( \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \right) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \ge C(N,p) \left( \frac{\omega_N}{|\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{p}{N}} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p ,$$

that holds for any bonded domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Here the constant C(N,p) > 0 is explicitly given while C(N,2) is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$ of the unit disc in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

We shall show that such type of inequality holds in the case where the singularity is placed at the boundary of the domain. To this end, we will use the function  $v(x) := |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} V\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)$  defined in (2.2) to "reduce the dimension".

Throughout this section, we assume that  $N \ge 2$  since the case N = 1 was already proved by Tibodolm [22] Theorem 1.1. Indeed, he showed that

$$\int_0^1 |u'(r)|^p dr - \mu_{0,p}(H) \int_0^1 r^{-p} |u(r)|^p dr \ge (p-1)2^p \int_0^1 |u(r)|^p dr, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(0,1).$$
  
We start with conic domains

We start with conic domains

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma} = \{ x = r\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid r \in (0,1), \, \sigma \in \Sigma \},\$$

where  $\Sigma$  is a domain properly contained in  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and having a Lipschitz boundary. We will denote by V the positive minimizer of (2.1) in  $\Sigma$  while  $v(x) := |x|^{\frac{p-N}{p}} V\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)$ satisfies (2.3) in the infinite cone  $\{x = r\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid r \in (0, +\infty), \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ . Finally we remember that by Harnack inequality  $\frac{1}{v} \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma})$ .

Recall the following inequalities (see [17] Lemma 4.2) which will be useful in the remaining of the paper. Let  $p \in [2, \infty)$  then for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ 

(2.18) 
$$|a+b|^{p} \ge |a|^{p} + \frac{1}{2^{p-1}-1}|b|^{p} + p|a|^{p-2}a \cdot b.$$

If  $p \in (1,2)$  then for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ 

(2.19) 
$$|a+b|^{p} \ge |a|^{p} + c(p) \frac{|b|^{2}}{(|a|+|b|)^{2-p}} + p|a|^{p-2}a \cdot b.$$

We first make the following observation.

**Lemma 2.7** Let  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma})$ ,  $u \ge 0$ . Set  $\psi = \frac{u}{v}$  then If  $p \ge 2$ 

(2.20) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\nabla u|^p - \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \ge \frac{1}{2^{p-1}-1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |v\nabla\psi|^p,$$

*If* 1

(2.21) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\nabla u|^p - \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \ge c(p) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} \frac{|v\nabla\psi|^2}{\left(|v\nabla\psi| + |\psi\nabla v|\right)^{2-p}},$$

**Proof.** We prove only the case  $p \ge 2$  as the case  $p \in (1,2)$  goes similarly. Notice that  $\nabla u = v \nabla \psi + \psi \nabla v$  then we use the inequality (2.18) with  $a = v \nabla \psi$  and  $b = \psi \nabla v$  to get

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\nabla u|^p \ge \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\psi \nabla v|^p + p \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\psi \nabla v|^{p-2} \psi \nabla v \cdot (v \nabla \psi) + \frac{1}{2^{p-1} - 1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |v \nabla \psi|^p.$$

It is plain that

$$p|\psi\nabla v|^{p-2}\psi\nabla v\cdot(v\nabla\psi) = |\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v\cdot(v\nabla\psi^p) = |\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v\cdot\nabla(v\psi^p) - |\psi\nabla v|^p.$$

Inserting this in the first inequality and using (2.3) we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\nabla u|^{p} \geq \frac{1}{2^{p-1}-1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |v\nabla\psi|^{p} + \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla (v\psi^{p})$$
  
$$\geq \frac{1}{2^{p-1}-1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |v\nabla\psi|^{p} + \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |x|^{-p} u^{p}.$$

The improvement in the case  $p\geq 2$  is an immediate consequence of the above lemma.

**Lemma 2.8** For all  $p \ge 2$ 

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\nabla u|^p - \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \ge \frac{\Lambda_p}{2^{p-1} - 1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |u|^p, \quad \forall u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}),$$

where  $\Lambda_p := \inf_{f \in C_c^1(0,1)} \frac{\int_0^1 r^{p-1} |f'|^p dr}{\int_0^1 r^{p-1} |f|^p dr}.$ 

**Proof.** Since  $|\nabla|u|| \leq |\nabla u|$ , we may assume that  $u \geq 0$ . We only need to estimate the right hand side in (2.20). We use polar coordinates  $x \mapsto (|x|, \frac{x}{|x|}) = (r, \sigma)$  and denote by  $\partial_r$  the radial direction. Then using (2.18),

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |v\nabla\psi|^p &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^1 r^{p-1} V^p |\psi_r \partial_r + \nabla_{\sigma}\psi|^p \\ &\geq \int_{\Sigma} V^p \int_0^1 r^{p-1} |\psi_r|^p \ge \Lambda_p \int_{\Sigma} V^p \int_0^1 r^{p-1} |\psi|^p \\ &\ge \Lambda_p \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^1 u^p r^{N-1} = \Lambda_p \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |u|^p. \end{split}$$

The lemma readily follows from (2.20).

It is easy to see that by integration by parts  $\Lambda_p \geq 1$  while for integer  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\Lambda_p$  corresponds to the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . We now turn to the case  $p \in (1, 2)$  which carries more difficulties. We shall need the following intermediate result.

**Lemma 2.9** Let  $p \in (1,2)$  and  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma})$ ,  $u \ge 0$ . Setting  $\psi = \frac{u}{v}$  then there exists a constant  $c = c(p, \Sigma) > 0$  such that

$$c \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r |\psi \nabla v|^p \le \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{(2-p)/2} |v \nabla \psi|^p.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{\psi} := r^{\frac{1}{p}}\psi$  and use  $\tilde{\psi}^p v$  as a test function in the weak equation (2.3). Then by Hölder

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\tilde{\psi}\nabla v|^{p} &\leq \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{-p} v^{p} \tilde{\psi}^{p} + p \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\tilde{\psi}\nabla v|^{p-1} |v\nabla\tilde{\psi}| \\ &\leq \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{-p} v^{p} \tilde{\psi}^{p} + p \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\tilde{\psi}\nabla v|^{p} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |v\nabla\tilde{\psi}|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore by Young's inequality, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small there exists a constant  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ depending on p and  $\Sigma$  such that

$$(1 - \varepsilon c(p)) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\tilde{\psi} \nabla v|^p \le C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{-p} v^p \tilde{\psi}^p + C_{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |v \nabla \tilde{\psi}|^p.$$

Recall that  $\tilde{\psi} = r^{\frac{1}{p}}\psi$ . Then since

$$|\nabla \tilde{\psi}|^p \le c(p) \left( r^{1-p} \psi^p + r |\nabla \psi|^p \right),$$

we conclude that there exists a constant  $c = c(p, \Sigma)$  such that

(2.22) 
$$c\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r|\psi\nabla v|^{p} \leq \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{1-p} v^{p} \psi^{p} + \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{(2-p)/p} |v\nabla\psi|^{p},$$

we have used the fact that  $r \leq r^{(2-p)/p}$  for all  $r \in (0,1)$ . To estimate the first term in the right hand side in (2.22) we will use the 2-dimensional Hardy inequality. Through the polar coordinates  $x \mapsto (r, \sigma)$ 

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{1-p} v^p \psi^p &= \int_{\Sigma} V^p \int_0^1 r^{p-1} \left(\frac{\psi}{r}\right)^p r \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} V^p \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\psi}{r}\right)^p r \\ &\leq \left|\frac{p}{p-2}\right|^{-p} \int_{\Sigma} V^p \int_0^1 |\psi_r|^p r \\ &= \left|\frac{p}{p-2}\right|^{-p} \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} V^p v^{-p} |v \nabla \psi|^p r = \left|\frac{p}{p-2}\right|^{-p} \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} r^{N-p+1} |v \nabla \psi|^p. \end{split}$$

To conclude, we notice that  $r^{N-p+1} = r^{N-\frac{p}{2}}r^{(2-p)/p} \leq r^{N-1}r^{(2-p)/p}$  as  $p \in (1,2)$  so that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{1-p} v^p \psi^p \le \left| \frac{p}{p-2} \right|^{-p} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{(2-p)/p} |v \nabla \psi|^p.$$

Inserting this in (2.22) the lemma follows immediately.

We are now in position to prove the improved Hardy inequality for  $p \in (1, 2)$ .

**Lemma 2.10** Let  $p \in (1,2)$ . Then there exists a constant  $c = c(p, \Sigma) > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\nabla u|^p - \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \ge c \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |u|^p, \quad \forall u \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}).$$

**Proof.** Here also we may assume that  $u \ge 0$ . We need to estimate the right hand

side of (2.21). Let r = |x| then by Hölder and Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{\frac{2-p}{2}} |v\nabla\psi|^{p} &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} \frac{|v\nabla\psi|^{2}}{\left(|v\nabla\psi| + |\psi\nabla v|\right)^{(2-p)p/2}} r^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left(|v\nabla\psi| + |\psi\nabla v|\right)^{(2-p)p/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} \frac{|v\nabla\psi|^{2}}{\left(|v\nabla\psi| + |\psi\nabla v|\right)^{2-p}}\right)^{p/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r \left||v\nabla\psi| + |\psi\nabla v|\right|^{p}\right)^{(2-p)/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} \frac{|v\nabla\psi|^{2}}{\left(|v\nabla\psi| + |\psi\nabla v|\right)^{2-p}}\right)^{p/2} \\ &\times \left(2^{p-1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r |v\nabla\psi|^{p} + 2^{p-1} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r |\psi\nabla v|^{p}\right)^{(2-p)/2} \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} \frac{|v\nabla\psi|^{2}}{\left(|v\nabla\psi| + |\psi\nabla v|\right)^{2-p}}\right)^{p/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{\frac{2-p}{2}} |v\nabla\psi|^{p}\right)^{(2-p)/2}, \end{split}$$

where c a positive constant depending only on p and  $\Sigma$  and we have used once more the fact that  $r \leq r^{(2-p)/p}$  for all  $r \in (0,1)$ . Consequently by (2.21), we deduce that

(2.23) 
$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\nabla u|^p - \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \ge c \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{\frac{2-p}{2}} |v\nabla\psi|^p.$$

To proceed we estimate

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^1 u^p r^{N-1} &= \int_{\Sigma} V^p \int_0^1 r^{p-1} |\psi|^p \le c(p) \int_{\Sigma} V^p \int_0^1 r |\psi_r|^p \\ &\le c(p) \int_{\Sigma} V^p \int_0^1 r^{\frac{p}{2}} |\psi_r|^p \\ &\le c(p) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} r^{\frac{2-p}{2}} |v \nabla \psi|^p. \end{split}$$

The first inequality comes from the 2-dimensional embedding  $W_0^{1,p} \subset L^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} \subset L^{\frac{p}{3-p}}$ , one can see [[13] page 2155] for the proof. Putting this in (2.23) we conclude that there exists a positive constant  $c = c(p, \Sigma)$  such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |\nabla u|^p - \mu_{0,p}(\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}) \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \ge c \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma}} |u|^p$$

which was the purpose of the lemma.

The main result in this section is contained in the next theorem.

**Theorem 2.11** Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $0 \in \partial \Omega$ . If  $\Omega$  is contained in a half-ball centered at 0 then there exists a constant c(N,p) > 0 such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p - \mu_{0,p}(H) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-p} |u|^p \ge \frac{c(N,p)}{diam(\Omega)^p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \qquad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

**Proof.** Let  $R = \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$  be the diameter of  $\Omega$ . Then  $\Omega$  is contained in a half ball  $B_R^+$  of radius R centered at the origin. From Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.10 we infer that

$$\int_{B_{R}^{+}} |\nabla u|^{p} - \mu_{0,p}(H) \int_{B_{R}^{+}} |x|^{-p} |u|^{p} \ge \frac{c(N,p)}{R^{p}} \int_{B_{R}^{+}} |u|^{p} \quad \forall u \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

by homogeneity. The theorem readily follows by density.

We do not know whether diam( $\Omega$ ) might be replaced with  $\omega_N |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{N}}$  as in [13] at least when  $\Omega$  is convex and  $p \geq 2$ . There might exists also "logarithmic" improvement as was recently obtained in [11] inside cones and p = 2. One can see also the work of Barbatis-Filippas-Tertikas in [1] for domains containing the origin or when |x| is replaced by the distance to the boundary.

### A Hardy's inequality

We denote by d the distance function of  $\Omega$ :

$$d(x) := \inf\{|x - \sigma| : \sigma \in \partial\Omega\}.$$

In this section, we study the problem of finding minima to the following quotient

(A.1) 
$$\nu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} d^{-p} |u|^p \, dx}$$

where p > 1 and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is a varying parameter. Existence of extremals to this problem was studied in [2] when p = 2 and in [18] with  $\lambda = 0$ . It is known (see for instance [18]) that  $\nu_{0,p}(\Omega) \leq \mathbf{c}_p$  for any smooth bounded domain  $\Omega$  while for convex domain  $\Omega$ , the Hardy constant  $\nu_{0,p}(\Omega)$  is not achieved and  $\nu_{0,p}(\Omega) = \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p =: \mathbf{c}_p$ . The main result in this section is contained in the following **Theorem A.1** Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and p > 1, there exits  $\tilde{\lambda}(p,\Omega) \in [-\infty, +\infty)$  such that

(A.2) 
$$\nu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) < \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p, \quad \forall \lambda > \tilde{\lambda}(p,\Omega).$$

The infinimum in (A.1) is attained if  $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}(p, \Omega)$ .

We start with the following result which is stronger than needed. It was proved in [2] for p = 2 and in [12] when  $2 \le p < N$  as the authors were dealing with Hardy-Sobolev inequalities.

**Lemma A.2** Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Then there exists  $\beta = \beta(p, \Omega) > 0$  small such that

(A.3) 
$$\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} |\nabla u|^{p} \ge c_{p} \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} d^{-p} |u|^{p} \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),$$

where  $\Omega_{\beta} := \{ x \in \Omega : d(x) < \beta \}.$ 

**Proof.** Since  $|\nabla|u|| \leq |\nabla u|$ , we may assume that  $u \geq 0$ . Let  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and put  $v = d^{\frac{1-p}{p}}u$ . Using (2.18) and (2.19), we get

(A.4) 
$$|\nabla u|^p - \mathbf{c}_p d^{-p} |u|^p \ge c(p) d^{p-1} |\nabla v|^p + \left| \frac{p-1}{p} \right|^{p-1} \nabla d \cdot \nabla (v^p) \quad \text{if } p \ge 2,$$

$$|\nabla u|^p - \mathbf{c}_p d^{-p} |u|^p \ge c(p) \frac{d|\nabla v|^2}{\left(\mathbf{c}_p^{\frac{1}{p}} |v| + d|\nabla v|\right)^{2-p}} + \left|\frac{p-1}{p}\right|^{p-1} \nabla d \cdot \nabla (v^p) \quad \text{if } p \in (1,2).$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} \nabla d \cdot \nabla (v^p) = -\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} \Delta d |v|^p + \int_{\partial \Omega_{\beta}} |v|^p \ge -c \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} |v|^p + \int_{\partial \Omega_{\beta}} |v|^p,$$

for a positive constant depending only on  $\Omega$ . Multiply the identity  $\operatorname{div}(d\nabla d) = 1 + d\Delta d$  by v in integrate by parts to get

$$(1+o(1))\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}|v|^{p} = -p\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}d|v|^{p-1}\nabla d\cdot\nabla v + \int_{\partial\Omega_{\beta}}d|v|^{p} \le c(p)\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}d|v|^{p-1}|\nabla v| + \int_{\partial\Omega_{\beta}}d|v|^{p}$$

By Hölder and Young's inequalities

(A.6) 
$$(1+o(1)-c\varepsilon)\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}|v|^{p} \leq c_{\varepsilon}\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}d^{p}|\nabla v|^{p} + \int_{\partial\Omega_{\beta}}d|v|^{p}.$$

Case  $p \ge 2$ . Using (A.6) we infer that

$$(1+o(1)-c\varepsilon)\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}|v|^{p}\leq c_{\varepsilon}\beta\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}d^{p-1}|\nabla v|^{p}+\beta\int_{\partial\Omega_{\beta}}|v|^{p}.$$

It follows from (A.4) that for  $\varepsilon, \beta > 0$  small

$$\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} |\nabla u|^{p} - \mathbf{c}_{p} \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} d^{-p} |u|^{p} \ge c \left( \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} d^{p-1} |\nabla v|^{p} + \int_{\partial\Omega_{\beta}} |v|^{p} \right)$$

as desired.

**Case**  $p \in (1, 2)$ . By Hölder and Young's inequalities

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} d^{p} |\nabla v|^{p} &= \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} \frac{d^{p} |\nabla v|^{p}}{\left(\mathbf{c}_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} |v| + d |\nabla v|\right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}}} \left(\mathbf{c}_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} |v| + d |\nabla v|\right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} \\ &\leq c_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} \frac{d^{2} |\nabla v|^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{c}_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} |v| + d |\nabla v|\right)^{2-p}} + \varepsilon c \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} |v|^{p} + \varepsilon c \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} d^{p} |\nabla v|^{p} \end{split}$$

and thus

$$(1-c\varepsilon)\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}d^{p}|\nabla v|^{p} \leq c_{\varepsilon}\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}\frac{d^{2}|\nabla v|^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{c}_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}}|v|+d|\nabla v|\right)^{2-p}} + \varepsilon c\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}|v|^{p}.$$

Using this in (A.6) we obtain

$$(1+o(1)-c\varepsilon)\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}|v|^{p}\leq c\beta\int_{\Omega_{\beta}}\frac{d|\nabla v|^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{c}_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}}|v|+d|\nabla v|\right)^{2-p}}+c\beta\int_{\partial\Omega_{\beta}}|v|^{p}.$$

By (A.5), we conclude that for  $\varepsilon, \beta > 0$  small

$$\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} |\nabla u|^{p} - \mathbf{c}_{p} \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} d^{-p} |u|^{p} \ge c \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} \frac{d|\nabla v|^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{c}_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} |v| + d|\nabla v|\right)^{2-p}} + c \int_{\partial\Omega_{\beta}} |v|^{p}.$$

This ends the proof of the lemma.

**Lemma A.3** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of class  $C^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then there exists  $\tilde{\lambda}(p,\Omega) \in [-\infty, +\infty)$  such that

$$\nu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) < \boldsymbol{c}_p \quad \forall \lambda > \tilde{\lambda}(p,\Omega).$$

**Proof.** The proof will be carried out in 2 steps. **Step 1**: We claim that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \nu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) \ge \mathbf{c}_p$ . For  $\beta > 0$  we define

$$\Omega_{\beta} := \{ x \in \Omega : d(x) < \beta \}.$$

Let  $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\beta})$  with  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ ,  $\psi \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega_{\frac{\beta}{2}}$  and  $\psi \equiv 1$  in  $\Omega_{\frac{\beta}{4}}$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, there holds

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} d^{-p} |u|^p &= \int_{\Omega} d^{-p} |\psi u + (1-\psi)u|^p \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} d^{-p} |\psi u|^p + C \int_{\Omega} d^{-p} (1-\psi)^p |u|^p \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} d^{-p} |\psi u|^p + C \int_{\Omega} |u|^p. \end{split}$$

By (A.3), we infer that

$$\mathbf{c}_p \int_{\Omega} d^{-p} |\psi u|^p \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\psi u)|^p$$

and hence

(A.7) 
$$\mathbf{c}_p \int_{\Omega} d^{-p} |u|^p \le (1+\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\psi u)|^p + C \int_{\Omega} |u|^p.$$

Since  $|\nabla(\psi u)|^p \leq (\psi |\nabla u| + |u| |\nabla \psi|)^p$  we deduce that

$$|\nabla(\psi u)|^p \le (1+\varepsilon)\psi^p |\nabla u|^p + C|u|^p |\nabla \psi|^p \le (1+\varepsilon)|\nabla u|^p + C|u|^p.$$

Using (A.7), we conclude that

$$\mathbf{c}_p \int_{\Omega} d^{-p} |u|^p \le (1+\varepsilon)^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + C(\varepsilon,\beta) \int_{\Omega} |u|^p.$$

This means that  $\mathbf{c}_p \leq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \nu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega)$ . **Step 2**: We claim that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \nu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) \leq \mathbf{c}_p$ . Let  $\beta > 0$  then by (1.3) and scale invariance we have  $\mu_{0,p}(0,\beta) = \mathbf{c}_p$ . Hence for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exits a function  $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(0,\beta)$  such that

(A.8) 
$$\mathbf{c}_p + \varepsilon \ge \frac{\int_0^\beta |\phi'|^p \, ds}{\int_0^\beta s^{-p} \phi^p \, ds}.$$

Letting  $u(x) = \phi(d(x))$ , there exists a positive constant C depending only on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} |\nabla u|^{p} = \int_{0}^{\beta} \int_{\partial \Omega_{s}} |\phi'(s)|^{p} \, d\sigma_{s} \leq (1 + C\beta) \, |\partial \Omega| \int_{0}^{\beta} |\phi'(s)|^{p} \, ds.$$

Furthermore

$$\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} d^{-p} |u|^p = \int_0^{\beta} \int_{\partial\Omega_s} s^{-p} |\phi(s)|^p \, d\sigma_s \ge (1 - C\beta) \, |\partial\Omega| \int_0^{\beta} |\phi(s)|^p \, ds$$

By (A.8) we conclude that

$$\nu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} u^p \, dx}{\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} d^{-p} |u|^p \, dx} \leq (\mathbf{c}_p + \varepsilon) \frac{1 + C\beta}{1 - C\beta} + |\lambda| \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} |u|^p \, dx}{\int_{\Omega_{\beta}} d^{-p} |u|^p \, dx}.$$

Since  $\int_{\Omega} d^{-p} |u|^2 dx \ge \beta^{-p} \int_{\Omega_{\beta}} |u|^p dx$ , we get

$$\nu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega) \le (\mathbf{c}_p + \varepsilon) \frac{1 + C\beta}{1 - C\beta} + \beta^p |\lambda|,$$

sending  $\beta$  to 0 we get the desired result.

Clearly the proof of Theorem A.1 goes similarly as the one of Theorem 1.1 and we skip it.

It was shown in [2] that  $\tilde{\lambda}(2,\Omega) \in \mathbb{R}$  and that  $\nu_{\lambda,p}(\Omega)$  is not achieved for any  $\lambda \geq \tilde{\lambda}(2,\Omega)$ . On the other hand by [8], there are domains for which  $\tilde{\lambda}(2,\Omega) < 0$ , see also [18].

We point out that if  $\Omega$  is convex then by [22] there exists a constant a(N, p) > 0(explicitly given) such that

$$\tilde{\lambda}(p,\Omega) \ge \frac{a(N,p)}{|\Omega|^{\frac{p}{N}}}.$$

We finish this section by showing that there are smooth bounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that  $\tilde{\lambda}(p,\Omega) \in [-\infty,0)$ . We let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 2$  with  $0 \in \partial U$  be an exterior domain and set  $\Omega_r = B_r(0) \cap U$ .

**Proposition A.4** Assume that  $p > \frac{N+1}{2}$  then there exists r > 0 such that  $\nu_{0,p}(\Omega_r) < \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p$ .

**Proof.** Clearly  $\mu_{0,p}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) = \left|\frac{N-p}{p}\right|^p < \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p$  provided  $p > \frac{N+1}{2}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p > \left|\frac{N-p}{p}\right|^p + \varepsilon$  so by (2.16), there exits r > 0 such that

$$\mu_{0,p}(\Omega_r) < \left|\frac{N-p}{p}\right|^p + \varepsilon < \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)^p.$$

The conclusion readily follows since  $\nu_{0,p}(\Omega_r) \leq \mu_{0,p}(\Omega_r)$  because  $0 \in \partial \Omega_r$ .

### References

- [1] Barbatis G., Filippas S., Tertikas A., A unified approach to improved  $L^p$  Hardy inequalities with best constants. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356, (2004), 2169-2196.
- [2] Brezis H. and Marcus M., Hardy's inequalities revisited. Dedicated to Ennio De Giorgi. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 25 (1997), no. 1-2, 217-237.
- [3] Brezis H. and Vàzquez J. L., Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems. Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid 10 (1997), no. 2, 443-469.
- [4] Brezis H. and Lieb E., A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983), 486-490.
- [5] Caldiroli P., Musina R., On a class of 2-dimensional singular elliptic problems. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 131 (2001), 479-497.
- [6] Caldiroli P., Musina R., Stationary states for a two-dimensional singular Schrödinger equation. Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8) 4-B (2001), 609-633.
- [7] de Valeriola S. and Willem M., On Some Quasilinear Critical Problems, Advanced Nonlinear Studies 9 (2009), 825-836.

- [8] Davies E. B., The Hardy constant, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 46 (1995), 417-431.
- [9] Fall M. M., Area-minimizing regions with small volume in Riemannian manifolds with boundary. Pacific J. Math. 244 (2010), no. 2, 235-260.
- [10] Fall M. M., Musina R., Hardy-Poincaré inequalities with boundary singularities. Prépublication Département de Mathématique Université Catholique de Louvain-La-Neuve 364 (2010), http://www.uclouvain.be/38324.html.
- [11] Fall M. M., Musina R., Sharp nonexistence results for a linear elliptic inequality involving Hardy and Leray potentials. Prevint SISSA (2010). Ref. 31/2010/M.
- [12] Filippas S., Maz'ya V. and Tertikas A., Critical Hardy–Sobolev inequalities. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliqués Volume 87, Issue 1, 2007, 37-56.
- [13] Gazzola F., Grunau H. C., Mitidieri E., Hardy inequalities with optimal constants and remainder terms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356, 2004, 2149-2168.
- [14] Ghoussoub N., Kang X.S., Hardy-Sobolev critical elliptic equations with boundary singularities. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 21 (2004), no. 6, 767–793.
- [15] Opic B. and Kufner A., "Hardy-type Inequalities", Pitman Research Notes in Math., Vol. 219, Longman 1990.
- [16] Gilbarg D. and Trudinger N.S., Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Grundlehren 224, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo (1983).
- [17] Lindqvist P., On the equation  $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2}u = 0$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 109(1) (1990), 157164. Addendum, ibiden, 116 (2) (1992), 583-584.
- [18] Marcus M., Mizel V.J., and Pinchover Y., Transactions of the American Mathematical Socity. Volume 350, Number 8, August 1998, 3237-3255.
- [19] Nazarov A. I., Hardy-Sobolev Inequalities in a cone, J. Math. Sciences, 132, (2006), (4), 419-427.

- [20] Nazarov A.I., Dirichlet and Neumann problems to critical Emden-Fowler type equations. J Glob Optim (2008) 40, 289-303.
- [21] Pinchover Y., Tintarev K., Existence of minimizers for Schrödinger operators under domain perturbations with application to Hardy's inequality. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 54 (2005), 1061-1074.
- [22] Tidblom J., A geometrical version of Hardy's inequality for  $\mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004) 2265-2271.